MA-1102

Series and Matrices

Department of Mathematics

IIT Madras

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへぐ

Notation

 \emptyset = the empty set.

 $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$, the set of natural numbers.

 $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, the set of integers.

 $\mathbb{Q} = \{\frac{p}{q} : p \in \mathbb{Z}, q \in \mathbb{N}\}\$, the set of rational numbers.

 \mathbb{R} = the set of real numbers.

 $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ = the set of irrational numbers.

 $\mathbb{N}\subsetneq\mathbb{Z}\subsetneq\mathbb{Q}\subsetneq\mathbb{R}.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

${\mathbb R}$ satisfies the completeness property:

Every nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} having an upper bound has a least upper bound (**lub**) in \mathbb{R} .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへぐ

\mathbb{R} satisfies the **completeness property**:

Every nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} having an upper bound has a least upper bound (**lub**) in \mathbb{R} .

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

From this follows the Archimedean property of $\mathbb R$:

If a > 0 and b > 0, then there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $na \ge b$.

 \mathbb{R} satisfies the **completeness property**:

Every nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} having an upper bound has a least upper bound (**lub**) in \mathbb{R} .

From this follows the Archimedean property of $\mathbb R$:

If a > 0 and b > 0, then there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $na \ge b$.

This allows the existence of the greatest integer function.

That is, corresponding to each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique integer *n* such that $n \le x < n + 1$. We write this *n* as [*x*]; it is the greatest integer less than or equal to *x*.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

\mathbb{R} satisfies the **completeness property**:

Every nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} having an upper bound has a least upper bound (**lub**) in \mathbb{R} .

From this follows the Archimedean property of $\mathbb R$:

If a > 0 and b > 0, then there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $na \ge b$.

This allows the existence of the greatest integer function.

That is, corresponding to each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique integer *n* such that $n \le x < n + 1$. We write this *n* as [*x*]; it is the greatest integer less than or equal to *x*.

We visualize \mathbb{R} as a straight line made of expansible rubber of no thickness!



Notation Cont.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, a < b. $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \le x \le b\}$, the closed interval [a, b]. $(a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a < x \le b\}$, the semi-open interval (a, b]. $[a, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \le x < b\}$, the semi-open interval [a, b). $(a, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a < x < b\}$, the open interval (a, b).

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Notation Cont.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, a < b. $[a,b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \le x \le b\}$, the closed interval [a,b]. $(a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a < x \le b\}$, the semi-open interval (a, b]. $[a,b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \le x < b\}$, the semi-open interval [a,b). $(a, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a < x < b\}$, the open interval (a, b). $(-\infty, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \le b\}$, the closed infinite interval $(-\infty, b]$. $(-\infty, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < b\}$, the open infinite interval $(-\infty, b)$. $[a, \infty) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \ge a\}$, the closed infinite interval $[a, \infty)$. $(a, \infty) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \le b\}$, the open infinite interval (a, ∞) . $(-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$, both open and closed infinite interval. $(0, \infty) = \mathbb{R}_+$ = the set of all positive real numbers. $(-\infty, 0) = \mathbb{R}_{-}$ = the set of all negative real numbers.

Absolute Value function

The **absolute value** of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$|x| = \sqrt{x^2} = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \ge 0\\ -x & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

The distance between *x* and *y* is |x - y|.

A **neighbourhood** of a point *c* is an open interval $(c - \delta, c + \delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

It is the set of all points having distance from c less than δ .

Absolute Value function

The **absolute value** of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$|x| = \sqrt{x^2} = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \ge 0\\ -x & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

The distance between *x* and *y* is |x - y|.

A **neighbourhood** of a point *c* is an open interval $(c - \delta, c + \delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$.

It is the set of all points having distance from c less than δ .

We often prove an equality through an inequality:

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

- 1. If for each $\epsilon > 0$, $|a b| < \epsilon$, then a = b.
- 2. If for each $\epsilon > 0$, $0 \le a < \epsilon$, then a = 0.
- 3. If for each $\epsilon > 0$, $a < b + \epsilon$, then $a \le b$.

Infinite sums?

Question: We accept $1111.111 \cdots$ as a real number.

But this is same as the infinite sum

$$1000 + 100 + 10 + 1 + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{100} + \cdots$$

Is this infinite sum a number?

We rather take the partial sums

 $1000 + 100 + 10 + 1 + \frac{1}{10}, \ 1000 + 100 + 10 + 1 + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{100}, \ \dots$

which are numbers; and ask whether the sequence of these numbers approximates certain real number?

We may approximate $\sqrt{2}$ by the usual division procedure, and get the sequence

1, 1.4, 1.41, 1.414, 1.4142, 1.41421, 1.414213, 1.4142135, 1.41421356, ... Does it approximate $\sqrt{2}$?

Sequences

A sequence of real numbers is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$. The values of the function are $f(1), f(2), f(3), \ldots$ These are called the **terms of the sequence**.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへぐ

Sequences

A sequence of real numbers is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$.

The values of the function are f(1), f(2), f(3), ...

These are called the **terms of the sequence**.

With $f(n) = x_n$, the *n*th term of the sequence, we write the sequence in many ways such as

$$(x_n) = (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{x_n\} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots)$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

For example, (*n*) is the sequence 1, 2, 3, 4, ...(1/*n*) is the sequence $\frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, ...$ (1/*n*²) is the sequence $\frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{9}, ...$ (5) is the sequence 5, 5, 5, 5, ... It is a constant sequence.

Convergence

A sequence (x_n) converges to a real number a iff corresponding to each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if n > mis any natural number, then $|x_n - a| < \epsilon$.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Example 1 The sequence (1/n) converges to 0.

Convergence

A sequence (x_n) converges to a real number *a* iff corresponding to each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if n > mis any natural number, then $|x_n - a| < \epsilon$.

Example 1 The sequence (1/n) converges to 0.

Reason: Let $\epsilon > 0$. Take $m = \lfloor 1/\epsilon \rfloor$. That is, *m* is the natural number such that $m \le \frac{1}{\epsilon} < m + 1$.

Then
$$\frac{1}{m} \le \epsilon$$
.
If $n > m$, then $\frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{m} \le \epsilon$.

That is, corresponding to each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an *m*, (we have defined it here) such that for every n > m, we see that $|1/n - 0| < \epsilon$.

Convergence behaviour of a sequence does not change if first finite number of terms are changed.

Convergence behaviour of a sequence does not change if first finite number of terms are changed.

A sequence thus converges to *a* implies the following:

- 1. Each neighborhood of *a* contains a tail of the sequence.
- 2. Every tail of the sequence contains numbers arbitrarily close to *a*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへぐ

Convergence behaviour of a sequence does not change if first finite number of terms are changed.

A sequence thus converges to *a* implies the following:

1. Each neighborhood of *a* contains a tail of the sequence.

2. Every tail of the sequence contains numbers arbitrarily close to *a*. We say that a sequence (x_n) converges iff it converges to some *a*. A sequence (x_n) diverges iff it does not converge to any real number.

(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへの

Convergence behaviour of a sequence does not change if first finite number of terms are changed.

A sequence thus converges to *a* implies the following:

1. Each neighborhood of *a* contains a tail of the sequence.

2. Every tail of the sequence contains numbers arbitrarily close to *a*. We say that a sequence (x_n) **converges** iff it converges to some *a*. A sequence (x_n) **diverges** iff it does not converge to any real number.

Let (x_n) be a sequence. We say that (x_n) **diverges to** ∞ iff corresponding to each r > 0, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if n > m is any natural number, then $x_n > r$.

(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへの

Convergence behaviour of a sequence does not change if first finite number of terms are changed.

A sequence thus converges to *a* implies the following:

1. Each neighborhood of *a* contains a tail of the sequence.

2. Every tail of the sequence contains numbers arbitrarily close to *a*. We say that a sequence (x_n) **converges** iff it converges to some *a*. A sequence (x_n) **diverges** iff it does not converge to any real number.

Let (x_n) be a sequence. We say that (x_n) **diverges to** ∞ iff corresponding to each r > 0, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if n > m is any natural number, then $x_n > r$.

We say that (x_n) **diverges to** $-\infty$ iff corresponding to each r > 0, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if n > mis any natural number, then $x_n < -r$.

Call an open interval (r, ∞) a neighborhood of ∞ . Call an open interval $(-\infty, s)$ a neighborhood of $-\infty$.

Call an open interval (r, ∞) a neighborhood of ∞ . Call an open interval $(-\infty, s)$ a neighborhood of $-\infty$. A sequence thus diverges to ∞ means the following:

- 1. Each neighborhood of ∞ contains a tail of the sequence.
- 2. Every tail of the sequence contains arbitrarily large positive numbers.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Call an open interval (r, ∞) a neighborhood of ∞ . Call an open interval $(-\infty, s)$ a neighborhood of $-\infty$. A sequence thus diverges to ∞ means the following:

- 1. Each neighborhood of ∞ contains a tail of the sequence.
- 2. Every tail of the sequence contains arbitrarily large positive numbers.
- A sequence diverges to $-\infty$ means the following:
 - 1. Each neighborhood of $-\infty$ contains a tail of the sequence.
 - 2. Every tail of the sequence contains arbitrarily small negative numbers.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Call an open interval (r, ∞) a neighborhood of ∞ . Call an open interval $(-\infty, s)$ a neighborhood of $-\infty$. A sequence thus diverges to ∞ means the following:

- 1. Each neighborhood of ∞ contains a tail of the sequence.
- 2. Every tail of the sequence contains arbitrarily large positive numbers.
- A sequence diverges to $-\infty$ means the following:
 - 1. Each neighborhood of $-\infty$ contains a tail of the sequence.
 - 2. Every tail of the sequence contains arbitrarily small negative numbers.

When (x_n) converges to a, or when it diverges to ∞ , or when it diverges to $-\infty$, we write

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \ell \text{ for } \ell = a, \infty, -\infty.$

We also write it as " $x_n \to \ell$ as $n \to \infty$ " or as $x_n \to \ell$.

Example 2

1. $\lim \sqrt{n} = \infty$ Reason: Let r > 0. Choose an $m > r^2$. Let n > m. Then $\sqrt{n} > \sqrt{m} > r$. Therefore, $\lim \sqrt{n} = \infty$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへぐ

Example 2

1. $\lim \sqrt{n} = \infty$

Reason: Let r > 0. Choose an $m > r^2$. Let n > m. Then $\sqrt{n} > \sqrt{m} > r$. Therefore, $\lim \sqrt{n} = \infty$. 2. $\lim \log(1/n) = -\infty$. Reason: Let r > 0. Choose a natural number $m > e^r$. Let n > m. Then $1/n < 1/m < e^{-r}$.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Consequently, $\log(1/n) < \log e^{-r} = -r$.

Therefore, $\log(1/n) \rightarrow -\infty$.

We say that a sequence (x_n) is **bounded** iff

there exists a positive real number k such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|x_n| \le k$; that is,

(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへの

when the whole sequence is contained in an interval of finite length.

We say that a sequence (x_n) is **bounded** iff

there exists a positive real number k such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|x_n| \le k$; that is,

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

when the whole sequence is contained in an interval of finite length.

We also say that (x_n) is **bounded below** iff there exists an *m* such that each $x_n \ge m$; and the sequence (x_n) is called **bounded above** iff there exists an *M* such that each $x_n \le M$.

We say that a sequence (x_n) is **bounded** iff

there exists a positive real number k such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|x_n| \le k$; that is,

when the whole sequence is contained in an interval of finite length.

We also say that (x_n) is **bounded below** iff there exists an *m* such that each $x_n \ge m$; and the sequence (x_n) is called **bounded above** iff there exists an *M* such that each $x_n \le M$.

Thus, a sequence is bounded iff it is both bounded below and bounded above.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We say that a sequence (x_n) is **bounded** iff

there exists a positive real number k such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|x_n| \le k$; that is,

when the whole sequence is contained in an interval of finite length.

We also say that (x_n) is **bounded below** iff there exists an *m* such that each $x_n \ge m$; and the sequence (x_n) is called **bounded above** iff there exists an *M* such that each $x_n \le M$.

Thus, a sequence is bounded iff it is both bounded below and bounded above.

A sequence (x_n) is called **increasing** iff $x_n \le x_{n+1}$ for each *n*. Similarly, (x_n) is called **decreasing** iff $x_n \ge x_{n+1}$ for each *n*.

We say that a sequence (x_n) is **bounded** iff

there exists a positive real number k such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|x_n| \le k$; that is,

when the whole sequence is contained in an interval of finite length.

We also say that (x_n) is **bounded below** iff there exists an *m* such that each $x_n \ge m$; and the sequence (x_n) is called **bounded above** iff there exists an *M* such that each $x_n \le M$.

Thus, a sequence is bounded iff it is both bounded below and bounded above.

A sequence (x_n) is called **increasing** iff $x_n \le x_{n+1}$ for each *n*. Similarly, (x_n) is called **decreasing** iff $x_n \ge x_{n+1}$ for each *n*.

A sequence which is either increasing or decreasing is called a **monotonic** sequence.

Cauchy, Subsequence

A sequence (x_n) is called a **Cauchy sequence** iff for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if n > m > M are in \mathbb{N} , then $|x_n - x_m| < \epsilon$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Cauchy, Subsequence

A sequence (x_n) is called a **Cauchy sequence** iff for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if n > m > M are in \mathbb{N} , then $|x_n - x_m| < \epsilon$.

It follows that for all n > m, if $\lim |x_n - x_m| \to 0$ as both $m \to \infty$ and $n \to \infty$, then (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Cauchy, Subsequence

A sequence (x_n) is called a **Cauchy sequence** iff for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if n > m > M are in \mathbb{N} , then $|x_n - x_m| < \epsilon$.

It follows that for all n > m, if $\lim |x_n - x_m| \to 0$ as both $m \to \infty$ and $n \to \infty$, then (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence.

Let (x_n) be a sequence. Choose an increasing sequence of indices $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \cdots$. The sequence (x_{n_k}) for $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, is a **subsequence** of the sequence (x_n) .

For example, (1, 4, 9, 16, ...) is a subsequence of the sequence 1, 2, 3, 4, ...

Helpful results

1. Limit of a sequence is unique.

Helpful results

- 1. Limit of a sequence is unique.
- 2. Each convergent sequence is bounded.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへぐ
Helpful results

- 1. Limit of a sequence is unique.
- 2. Each convergent sequence is bounded.
- 3. *Algebra of Limits*: Suppose $\lim x_n = a$ and $\lim y_n = b$. Then the following are true:
 - 3.1 *Sum*: $\lim (x_n + y_n) = a + b$
 - 3.2 Difference: $\lim (x_n y_n) = a b$.
 - 3.3 *Constant Multiple*: $\lim (cx_n) = ca$ for any real number *c*.
 - 3.4 *Product*: $\lim (x_n y_n) = ab$.
 - 3.5 *Division*: $\lim (x_n/y_n) = a/b$, provided no y_n is 0 and $b \neq 0$.

3.6 *Domination*: If for each $n, x_n \le y_n$, then $a \le b$.

Helpful results

- 1. Limit of a sequence is unique.
- 2. Each convergent sequence is bounded.
- 3. *Algebra of Limits*: Suppose $\lim x_n = a$ and $\lim y_n = b$. Then the following are true:
 - 3.1 *Sum*: $\lim (x_n + y_n) = a + b$
 - 3.2 *Difference*: $\lim (x_n y_n) = a b$.
 - 3.3 *Constant Multiple*: $\lim (cx_n) = ca$ for any real number *c*.
 - 3.4 *Product*: $\lim (x_n y_n) = ab$.
 - 3.5 *Division*: $\lim (x_n/y_n) = a/b$, provided no y_n is 0 and $b \neq 0$.
 - 3.6 *Domination*: If for each *n*, $x_n \le y_n$, then $a \le b$.
- 4. Sandwich Theorem: Let (x_n) , (y_n) , and (z_n) be sequences such that $x_n \le y_n \le z_n$ holds for all *n* greater than some *m*. If $x_n \to \ell$ and $z_n \to \ell$, then $y_n \to \ell$.

Helpful results

- 1. Limit of a sequence is unique.
- 2. Each convergent sequence is bounded.
- 3. *Algebra of Limits*: Suppose $\lim x_n = a$ and $\lim y_n = b$. Then the following are true:
 - 3.1 *Sum*: $\lim (x_n + y_n) = a + b$
 - 3.2 *Difference*: $\lim (x_n y_n) = a b$.
 - 3.3 *Constant Multiple*: $\lim (cx_n) = ca$ for any real number *c*.
 - 3.4 *Product*: $\lim (x_n y_n) = ab$.
 - 3.5 *Division*: $\lim (x_n/y_n) = a/b$, provided no y_n is 0 and $b \neq 0$.
 - 3.6 *Domination*: If for each *n*, $x_n \le y_n$, then $a \le b$.
- 4. Sandwich Theorem: Let (x_n) , (y_n) , and (z_n) be sequences such that $x_n \le y_n \le z_n$ holds for all *n* greater than some *m*. If $x_n \to \ell$ and $z_n \to \ell$, then $y_n \to \ell$.
- 5. Weirstrass Criterion: A bounded monotonic sequence converges.
 - 5.1 an increasing sequence bounded above converges to its *lub*;
 - 5.2 a decreasing sequence bounded below converges to its glb.

6. *Cauchy Criterion*: A sequence (x_n) converges iff it is a Cauchy sequence.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへぐ

- 6. *Cauchy Criterion*: A sequence (x_n) converges iff it is a Cauchy sequence.
- 7. *Limits of functions to Limits of sequences*: Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let f(x) be a function whose domain includes $[m, \infty)$. Let (x_n) be a sequence such that $x_n = f(n)$ for all $n \ge m$. If $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(n) = \ell$, then

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=\ell.$

- 6. *Cauchy Criterion*: A sequence (x_n) converges iff it is a Cauchy sequence.
- 7. *Limits of functions to Limits of sequences*: Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let f(x) be a function whose domain includes $[m, \infty)$. Let (x_n) be a sequence such that $x_n = f(n)$ for all $n \ge m$. If $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(n) = \ell$, then

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=\ell.$

8. *Limits of sequences to Limits of functions*: Let a < c < b. Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function where *D* contains $(a, c) \cup (c, b)$. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = \ell$ iff for each non-constant sequence (x_n) converging to *c*, the sequence of functional values $(f(x_n))$ converges to ℓ .

- 6. *Cauchy Criterion*: A sequence (x_n) converges iff it is a Cauchy sequence.
- 7. *Limits of functions to Limits of sequences*: Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let f(x) be a function whose domain includes $[m, \infty)$. Let (x_n) be a sequence such that $x_n = f(n)$ for all $n \ge m$. If $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(n) = \ell$, then

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=\ell.$

8. *Limits of sequences to Limits of functions*: Let a < c < b. Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function where *D* contains $(a, c) \cup (c, b)$. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = \ell$ iff for each non-constant sequence (x_n) converging to *c*, the sequence of functional values $(f(x_n))$ converges to ℓ .

9. Subsequence Criterion: Let (x_n) be a sequence.
0.1 If x_n → ℓ, then every subsequence of (x_n) converges to ℓ.
0.2 If x_{2n} → ℓ and x_{2n+1} → ℓ, then x_n → ℓ.

- 6. *Cauchy Criterion*: A sequence (x_n) converges iff it is a Cauchy sequence.
- 7. *Limits of functions to Limits of sequences*: Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let f(x) be a function whose domain includes $[m, \infty)$. Let (x_n) be a sequence such that $x_n = f(n)$ for all $n \ge m$. If $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(n) = \ell$, then

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=\ell.$

- 8. *Limits of sequences to Limits of functions*: Let a < c < b. Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function where *D* contains $(a, c) \cup (c, b)$. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = \ell$ iff for each non-constant sequence (x_n) converging to *c*, the sequence of functional values $(f(x_n))$ converges to ℓ .
- 9. Subsequence Criterion: Let (x_n) be a sequence.
 0.1 If x_n → l, then every subsequence of (x_n) converges to l.
 0.2 If x_{2n} → l and x_{2n+1} → l, then x_n → l.
- 10. *Continuity*: Let f(x) be a continuous real valued function whose domain contains each term of a convergent sequence x_n and also its limit. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = f\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n\right)$.

Let (x_n) be a sequence. Show that $x_n \to 0$ iff $|x_n| \to 0$.

Let (x_n) be a sequence. Show that $x_n \to 0$ iff $|x_n| \to 0$.

Solution: Suppose $x_n \to 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $|x_n| < \epsilon$. Since $||x_n| - 0| = |x_n|$, we get: $|x_n| \to 0$.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let (x_n) be a sequence. Show that $x_n \to 0$ iff $|x_n| \to 0$.

Solution: Suppose $x_n \to 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $|x_n| < \epsilon$. Since $||x_n| - 0| = |x_n|$, we get: $|x_n| \to 0$.

Suppose $|x_n| \to 0$. As $-|x_n| \le x_n \le |x_n|$, by Sandwich theorem, it follows that $x_n \to 0$.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let (x_n) be a sequence. Show that $x_n \to 0$ iff $|x_n| \to 0$.

Solution: Suppose $x_n \to 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $|x_n| < \epsilon$. Since $||x_n| - 0| = |x_n|$, we get: $|x_n| \to 0$.

Suppose $|x_n| \to 0$. As $-|x_n| \le x_n \le |x_n|$, by Sandwich theorem, it follows that $x_n \to 0$.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

In general, even if $(|x_n|)$ converges, (x_n) may not converge.

Let (x_n) be a sequence. Show that $x_n \to 0$ iff $|x_n| \to 0$.

Solution: Suppose $x_n \to 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $|x_n| < \epsilon$. Since $||x_n| - 0| = |x_n|$, we get: $|x_n| \to 0$.

Suppose $|x_n| \to 0$. As $-|x_n| \le x_n \le |x_n|$, by Sandwich theorem, it follows that $x_n \to 0$.

In general, even if $(|x_n|)$ converges, (x_n) may not converge.

For example, take $x_n = (-1)^n$.

Let (x_n) be a sequence. Show that $x_n \to 0$ iff $|x_n| \to 0$.

Solution: Suppose $x_n \to 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $|x_n| < \epsilon$. Since $||x_n| - 0| = |x_n|$, we get: $|x_n| \to 0$.

Suppose $|x_n| \to 0$. As $-|x_n| \le x_n \le |x_n|$, by Sandwich theorem, it follows that $x_n \to 0$.

In general, even if $(|x_n|)$ converges, (x_n) may not converge.

For example, take $x_n = (-1)^n$.

However, if (x_n) converges, say, to ℓ , then $(|x_n|)$ converges to $|\ell|$. It follows from the inequality $||x_n| - |\ell|| \le |x_n - \ell|$.

(i)
$$a_n := 2/(n^2 + 1)$$
 for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a_n \to 0$. Now

(i)
$$a_n := 2/(n^2 + 1)$$
 for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a_n \to 0$. Now
 $\left| \frac{2}{n^2 + 1} - 0 \right| = \frac{2}{n^2 + 1} < \frac{2}{n^2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(i)
$$a_n := 2/(n^2 + 1)$$
 for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a_n \to 0$. Now
 $\left| \frac{2}{n^2 + 1} - 0 \right| = \frac{2}{n^2 + 1} < \frac{2}{n^2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_0 > \sqrt{2}/\sqrt{\epsilon}$. For example, let $n_0 := [\sqrt{2}/\sqrt{\epsilon}] + 1$. Then $|a_n - 0| < \epsilon$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

(i)
$$a_n := 2/(n^2 + 1)$$
 for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a_n \to 0$. Now
 $\left| \frac{2}{n^2 + 1} - 0 \right| = \frac{2}{n^2 + 1} < \frac{2}{n^2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_0 > \sqrt{2}/\sqrt{\epsilon}$. For example, let $n_0 := [\sqrt{2}/\sqrt{\epsilon}] + 1$. Then $|a_n - 0| < \epsilon$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

(ii)
$$a_n := 5/(3n+1)$$
 for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a_n \to 0$.

(i)
$$a_n := 2/(n^2 + 1)$$
 for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a_n \to 0$. Now
 $\left| \frac{2}{n^2 + 1} - 0 \right| = \frac{2}{n^2 + 1} < \frac{2}{n^2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_0 > \sqrt{2}/\sqrt{\epsilon}$. For example, let $n_0 := \left[\sqrt{2}/\sqrt{\epsilon}\right] + 1$. Then $|a_n - 0| < \epsilon$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

(ii) $a_n := 5/(3n+1)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a_n \to 0$. Now

$$\frac{5}{3n+1} < \frac{5}{3n} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(i)
$$a_n := 2/(n^2 + 1)$$
 for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a_n \to 0$. Now
 $\left| \frac{2}{n^2 + 1} - 0 \right| = \frac{2}{n^2 + 1} < \frac{2}{n^2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_0 > \sqrt{2}/\sqrt{\epsilon}$. For example, let $n_0 := [\sqrt{2}/\sqrt{\epsilon}] + 1$. Then $|a_n - 0| < \epsilon$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

(ii) $a_n := 5/(3n+1)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a_n \to 0$. Now

$$\frac{5}{3n+1} < \frac{5}{3n} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_0 > 5/3\epsilon$. For example, let $n_0 := [5/3\epsilon] + 1$. Then $|a_n - 0| < \epsilon$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

(iii) $a_n := (-1)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(iii) $a_n := (-1)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the sequence (a_n) is divergent, that is, it is not convergent.

(iii) $a_n := (-1)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the sequence (a_n) is divergent, that is, it is not convergent.

Suppose (a_n) is convergent. Then there is a real number *a* such that $a_n \rightarrow a$. Let $\epsilon := 1/2$. Find $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|(-1)^n - a| < \frac{1}{2}$$
 for all $n \ge n_0$.

(iii) $a_n := (-1)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the sequence (a_n) is divergent, that is, it is not convergent.

Suppose (a_n) is convergent. Then there is a real number *a* such that $a_n \rightarrow a$. Let $\epsilon := 1/2$. Find $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|(-1)^n - a| < \frac{1}{2}$$
 for all $n \ge n_0$.

Since $(-1)^{2n_0} = 1$ and $(-1)^{2n_0+1} = -1$,

$$2 = |(-1)^{2n_0} - (-1)^{2n_0+1}|$$

$$\leq |(-1)^{2n_0} - a| + |a - (-1)^{2n_0+1}|$$

$$< \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1,$$

which is a contradiction.

Theorem

A convergent sequence has a unique limit.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへぐ

Theorem

A convergent sequence has a unique limit.

Proof: Let (a_n) be a sequence. Assume for a moment that $a_n \to a$ and $a_n \to b$, where $a \neq b$. Let $\epsilon := |a - b|/2 > 0$.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem

A convergent sequence has a unique limit.

Proof: Let (a_n) be a sequence. Assume for a moment that $a_n \to a$ and $a_n \to b$, where $a \neq b$. Let $\epsilon := |a - b|/2 > 0$.

Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \ge n_0 \implies |a_n - a| < \epsilon$, and let $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \ge m_0 \implies |a_n - b| < \epsilon$. Consider $n := \max\{n_0, m_0\}$. Then

Theorem

A convergent sequence has a unique limit.

Proof: Let (a_n) be a sequence. Assume for a moment that $a_n \to a$ and $a_n \to b$, where $a \neq b$. Let $\epsilon := |a - b|/2 > 0$.

Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \ge n_0 \implies |a_n - a| < \epsilon$, and let $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \ge m_0 \implies |a_n - b| < \epsilon$. Consider $n := \max\{n_0, m_0\}$. Then

$$|a-b| \le |a-a_n| + |a_n-b| < \epsilon + \epsilon = |a-b|,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence a = b.

Theorem

Every convergent sequence is bounded.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへぐ

Theorem

Every convergent sequence is bounded.

Proof: Suppose $a_n \to a$. Let $\epsilon := 1$. There is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

 $|a_n - a| < 1$ for all $n > n_0$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへぐ

Theorem

Every convergent sequence is bounded.

Proof: Suppose $a_n \to a$. Let $\epsilon := 1$. There is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_n - a| < 1 \text{ for all } n > n_0.$$

Hence

$$|a_n| \le |a_n - a| + |a| < 1 + |a|$$
 for all $n > n_0$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Theorem

Every convergent sequence is bounded.

Proof: Suppose $a_n \to a$. Let $\epsilon := 1$. There is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_n - a| < 1 \text{ for all } n > n_0.$$

Hence

$$|a_n| \le |a_n - a| + |a| < 1 + |a|$$
 for all $n > n_0$.

Define $\alpha := \max \{ |a_1|, \ldots, |a_{n_0}|, |a| + 1 \}$. Then $|a_n| \le \alpha$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence (a_n) is bounded.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem

Every convergent sequence is bounded.

Proof: Suppose $a_n \to a$. Let $\epsilon := 1$. There is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_n - a| < 1 \text{ for all } n > n_0.$$

Hence

$$|a_n| \le |a_n - a| + |a| < 1 + |a|$$
 for all $n > n_0$.

Define $\alpha := \max \{ |a_1|, \ldots, |a_{n_0}|, |a| + 1 \}$. Then $|a_n| \le \alpha$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence (a_n) is bounded.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A bounded sequence need not be convergent.

Theorem

Every convergent sequence is bounded.

Proof: Suppose $a_n \to a$. Let $\epsilon := 1$. There is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_n - a| < 1 \text{ for all } n > n_0.$$

Hence

$$|a_n| \le |a_n - a| + |a| < 1 + |a|$$
 for all $n > n_0$.

Define $\alpha := \max \{ |a_1|, \ldots, |a_{n_0}|, |a| + 1 \}$. Then $|a_n| \le \alpha$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence (a_n) is bounded.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A bounded sequence need not be convergent. Example: $a_n := (-1)^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem

Every convergent sequence is bounded.

Proof: Suppose $a_n \to a$. Let $\epsilon := 1$. There is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_n - a| < 1 \text{ for all } n > n_0.$$

Hence

$$|a_n| \le |a_n - a| + |a| < 1 + |a|$$
 for all $n > n_0$.

Define $\alpha := \max \{ |a_1|, \ldots, |a_{n_0}|, |a| + 1 \}$. Then $|a_n| \le \alpha$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence (a_n) is bounded.

- A bounded sequence need not be convergent. Example: $a_n := (-1)^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- If $a_n := (-1)^n n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then (a_n) is divergent since it is not bounded.

Example: Monotonic Bounded

Let $a_n := 1 + (1/2^2) + \cdots + (1/n^2)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The sequence (a_n) is clearly increasing.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへぐ
Example: Monotonic Bounded

Let $a_n := 1 + (1/2^2) + \cdots + (1/n^2)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The sequence (a_n) is clearly increasing. Further, since

$$a_n \leq 1 + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + \dots + \frac{1}{(n-1) \cdot n}$$

= $1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n}\right)$

we see that $a_n \le 2 - (1/n) < 2$. Thus (a_n) is bounded. Hence by the result discussed in a previous slide, (a_n) is convergent.

Examples

Example 4. Does
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{5n^2 + 2n + 7}{n^2 - 11n + 5}$$
 exist?
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{5n^2 + 2n + 7}{n^2 - 11n + 5} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{5 + \frac{2}{n} + \frac{7}{n^2}}{1 - \frac{11}{n} + \frac{5}{n^2}} = \frac{5}{1} = 5.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Examples

Example 4. Does
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{5n^2 + 2n + 7}{n^2 - 11n + 5}$$
 exist?
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{5n^2 + 2n + 7}{n^2 - 11n + 5} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{5 + \frac{2}{n} + \frac{7}{n^2}}{1 - \frac{11}{n} + \frac{5}{n^2}} = \frac{5}{1} = 5.$$

Example 5. Since $\frac{-1}{n} \le \frac{\cos n}{n} \le \frac{1}{n}$, Sandwich theorem implies that
 $\frac{\cos n}{n} \to 0.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Examples

Example 4. Does
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{5n^2 + 2n + 7}{n^2 - 11n + 5}$$
 exist?
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{5n^2 + 2n + 7}{n^2 - 11n + 5} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{5 + \frac{2}{n} + \frac{7}{n^2}}{1 - \frac{11}{n} + \frac{5}{n^2}} = \frac{5}{1} = 5.$$

Example 5. Since $\frac{-1}{n} \le \frac{\cos n}{n} \le \frac{1}{n}$, Sandwich theorem implies that
 $\frac{\cos n}{n} \to 0.$

Example 6. As $n < \sqrt{n^2 + 1} + n$,

$$0 < \sqrt{n^2 + 1} - n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2 + 1} + n} < \frac{1}{n}.$$

By Sandwich theorem, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n^2 + 1} - n = 0$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Example 7. Let p > 0. Show that $\lim (1/n^p) = 0$.

Example 7. Let p > 0. Show that $\lim (1/n^p) = 0$.

Solution: Let $\epsilon > 0$. Using Archimedean Property, take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $(1/\epsilon)^{1/p} < m$. Now, $1/\epsilon < m^p$; so $1/m^p < \epsilon$. If n > m, then

$$\frac{1}{n^p} < \frac{1}{m^p} < \epsilon.$$

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

Example 7. Let p > 0. Show that $\lim (1/n^p) = 0$.

Solution: Let $\epsilon > 0$. Using Archimedean Property, take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $(1/\epsilon)^{1/p} < m$. Now, $1/\epsilon < m^p$; so $1/m^p < \epsilon$. If n > m, then

$$\frac{1}{n^p} < \frac{1}{m^p} < \epsilon$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Example 8. Show that if |x| < 1, then $\lim x^n = 0$.

Example 7. Let p > 0. Show that $\lim (1/n^p) = 0$.

Solution: Let $\epsilon > 0$. Using Archimedean Property, take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $(1/\epsilon)^{1/p} < m$. Now, $1/\epsilon < m^p$; so $1/m^p < \epsilon$. If n > m, then

$$\frac{1}{m^p} < \frac{1}{m^p} < \epsilon$$

Example 8. Show that if |x| < 1, then $\lim x^n = 0$.

Solution: Write $|x| = \frac{1}{1+r}$ for some r > 0. By the Binomial theorem, $(1+r)^n \ge 1 + nr > nr$. So, $0 < |x|^n = \frac{1}{(1+r)^n} < \frac{1}{nr}$. By Sandwich theorem, $\lim |x|^n = 0$. Now, $-|x|^n \le x^n \le |x|^n$. Again, by Sandwich theorem, $\lim x^n = 0$.

Example 9. Show that $\lim(n^{1/n}) = 1$.



Example 9. Show that $\lim(n^{1/n}) = 1$. Solution: Let $x_n = n^{1/n} - 1$. We see that $x_n \ge 0$. Using Binomial theorem for $n \ge 2$,

$$x_n + 1 = n^{1/n} \Rightarrow n = (x_n + 1)^n \ge 1 + nx_n + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}x_n^2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Hence
$$n \ge \frac{n(n-1)}{2} x_n^2 \Longrightarrow 0 \le x_n \le \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{n-1}}.$$

Apply Sandwich theorem to conclude that $\lim x_n = 0$.

Example 9. Show that $\lim(n^{1/n}) = 1$. Solution: Let $x_n = n^{1/n} - 1$. We see that $x_n \ge 0$. Using Binomial theorem for $n \ge 2$,

$$x_n + 1 = n^{1/n} \Rightarrow n = (x_n + 1)^n \ge 1 + nx_n + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}x_n^2$$

Hence
$$n \ge \frac{n(n-1)}{2} x_n^2 \Longrightarrow 0 \le x_n \le \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{n-1}}.$$

Apply Sandwich theorem to conclude that $\lim x_n = 0$.

Example 10. Show that if x > 0, then $\lim(x^{1/n}) = 1$.

Solution: The function $f(t) = x^t$ is continuous for $t \in [0, 1]$. All terms of the sequence (1/n) and its limit belong to the interval [0, 1]. Therefore,

$$\lim x^{1/n} = x^{\lim(1/n)} = x^0 = 1.$$

Example 11. Show that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log n}{n} = 0$. Solution: $\log x$ is defined on $[1, \infty)$. Using L'Hospital's rule,

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\log x}{x} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} = 0.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Example 11. Show that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log n}{n} = 0$. Solution: $\log x$ is defined on $[1, \infty)$. Using L'Hospital's rule,

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\log x}{x} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} = 0$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log n}{n} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\log x}{x} = 0.$ Example 12. Show that $\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{1/n} = 1.$ Solution: $\lim_{x \to \infty} n^{1/n} = \lim_{x \to \infty} x^{1/x} = \lim_{x \to \infty} e^{\frac{1}{x} \log x} = e^{\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\log x}{x}} = e^0 = 1.$ Exercise 13. Show that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^p}{x^n} = 0$ for x > 1.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

A series is an infinite sum.

Consider the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$, which abbreviates $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \cdots$. Its partial sum is the sequence $s_n = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n$. We say that the series $\sum x_n$ **converges to** ℓ iff the sequence (s_n) converges to ℓ .

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

A series is an infinite sum.

Consider the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$, which abbreviates $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \cdots$. Its partial sum is the sequence $s_n = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n$. We say that the series $\sum x_n$ **converges to** ℓ iff the sequence (s_n) converges to ℓ .

That is, when for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k - \ell| < \epsilon$. In this case, we write $\sum x_n = \ell$.

A series is an infinite sum.

Consider the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$, which abbreviates $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \cdots$. Its partial sum is the sequence $s_n = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n$. We say that the series $\sum x_n$ **converges to** ℓ iff the sequence (s_n) converges to ℓ .

That is, when for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k - \ell| < \epsilon$. In this case, we write $\sum x_n = \ell$.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The series is said to be **divergent** iff it is not convergent.

A series is an infinite sum.

Consider the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$, which abbreviates $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \cdots$. Its partial sum is the sequence $s_n = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n$. We say that the series $\sum x_n$ **converges to** ℓ iff the sequence (s_n) converges to ℓ .

That is, when for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k - \ell| < \epsilon$. In this case, we write $\sum x_n = \ell$.

The series is said to be **divergent** iff it is not convergent.

The series $\sum x_n$ **diverges to** ∞ iff for each r > 0, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k > r$. We write it as $\sum x_n = \infty$.

A series is an infinite sum.

Consider the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$, which abbreviates $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \cdots$. Its partial sum is the sequence $s_n = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n$. We say that the series $\sum x_n$ **converges to** ℓ iff the sequence (s_n) converges to ℓ .

That is, when for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $|\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k - \ell| < \epsilon$. In this case, we write $\sum x_n = \ell$.

The series is said to be **divergent** iff it is not convergent.

The series $\sum x_n$ **diverges to** ∞ iff for each r > 0, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k > r$. We write it as $\sum x_n = \infty$.

Similarly, the series $\sum x_n$ **diverges to** $-\infty$ iff for each r > 0, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k < -r$. We write it as $\sum x_n = -\infty$.

Example 14 1. The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n}$ converges to 1. Reason? $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^k} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1 - (1/2)^n}{1 - 1/2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} \to 1.$

Example 14 1. The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n}$ converges to 1. Reason? $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^k} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1 - (1/2)^n}{1 - 1/2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} \to 1.$

2. The **Harmonic** series $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \cdots$ diverges to ∞ . Reason?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Example 14

1. The series
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n}$$
 converges to 1. Reason?

$$s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^k} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1 - (1/2)^n}{1 - 1/2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} \to 1.$$

2. The **Harmonic** series $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \cdots$ diverges to ∞ . Reason?

Write $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$. Let r > 0. Choose $m = 2^k$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, k > 2r. Then

$$s_{m} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{j} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{k}}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{8}\right) + \dots + \left(\sum_{j=2^{k-1}+1}^{2^{k}} \frac{1}{j}\right)$$

$$\geq 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8}\right) + \dots + \left(\sum_{j=2^{k-1}+1}^{2^{k}} \frac{1}{2^{k}}\right)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} = 1 + \frac{k}{2} > r. \text{ If } n > m, \text{ then } s_{n} > s_{m} > r.$$

Example 15

- 1. The series $-1 2 3 4 \cdots n \cdots$ diverges to $-\infty$.
- 2. The Alternating series 1 1 + 1 1 + · · · diverges. Reason? The sequence of partial sums 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, It neither diverges to ∞ nor to -∞.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Example 15

- 1. The series $-1 2 3 4 \cdots n \cdots$ diverges to $-\infty$.
- 2. The Alternating series 1 1 + 1 1 + · · · diverges. Reason? The sequence of partial sums 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, It neither diverges to ∞ nor to -∞.
- 3. Let $a \neq 0$. Consider the **geometric series**

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ar^{n-1} = a + ar + ar^2 + ar^3 + \cdots$$

$$s_n = a + ar + ar^2 + ar^3 + \dots ar^{n-1} = \frac{a(1 - r^n)}{1 - r}.$$

(a) If |r| < 1, then $r^n \to 0$. The geometric series converges to

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}s_n=\frac{a}{1-r}.$$

(b) If |r| > 1, then r^n diverges; so the geometric series diverges. If $r = \pm 1$, the geometric series clearly diverges.

 If a series sums to *l*, then *l* is unique. It follows from the uniqueness of limit of a sequence. Of course, *l* ∈ ℝ ∪ {∞, -∞}.

- If a series sums to *l*, then *l* is unique.
 It follows from the uniqueness of limit of a sequence.
 Of course, *l* ∈ ℝ ∪ {∞, -∞}.
- 2. (*n*-th term) If a series ∑ a_n converges, then the sequence (a_n) converges to 0. *Proof*: Let s_n = ∑ⁿ_{k=1} a_k. Then a_n = s_n s_{n-1}. If the series converges, say, to ℓ, then lim s_n = ℓ = lim s_{n-1}. It follows that lim a_n = 0.

- If a series sums to *l*, then *l* is unique.
 It follows from the uniqueness of limit of a sequence.
 Of course, *l* ∈ ℝ ∪ {∞, -∞}.
- 2. (*n*-th term) If a series ∑ a_n converges, then the sequence (a_n) converges to 0. *Proof*: Let s_n = ∑ⁿ_{k=1} a_k. Then a_n = s_n s_{n-1}. If the series converges, say, to ℓ, then lim s_n = ℓ = lim s_{n-1}.

It follows that $\lim a_n = 0$.

3. (Cauchy Criterion) A series $\sum a_n$ converges iff for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\sum_{j=m}^n a_j| < \epsilon$ for all n > m > k.

- If a series sums to *l*, then *l* is unique.
 It follows from the uniqueness of limit of a sequence.
 Of course, *l* ∈ ℝ ∪ {∞, -∞}.
- (*n*-th term) If a series ∑ a_n converges, then the sequence (a_n) converges to 0.
 Breach Let a = ∑ⁿ a. Then a = a = a

Proof: Let $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$. Then $a_n = s_n - s_{n-1}$. If the series converges, say, to ℓ , then $\lim s_n = \ell = \lim s_{n-1}$. It follows that $\lim a_n = 0$.

- 3. (Cauchy Criterion) A series $\sum a_n$ converges iff for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\sum_{i=m}^n a_i| < \epsilon$ for all n > m > k.
- 4. (Weirstrass Criterion) Let $\sum a_n$ be a series of non-negative terms. Suppose there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that each partial sum of the series is less than *c*, i.e., for each *n*, $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j < c$. Then $\sum a_n$ is convergent.

- If a series sums to *l*, then *l* is unique.
 It follows from the uniqueness of limit of a sequence.
 Of course, *l* ∈ ℝ ∪ {∞, -∞}.
- 2. (*n*-th term) If a series $\sum a_n$ converges, then the sequence (a_n) converges to 0.

Proof: Let $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$. Then $a_n = s_n - s_{n-1}$. If the series converges, say, to ℓ , then $\lim s_n = \ell = \lim s_{n-1}$. It follows that $\lim a_n = 0$.

3. (Cauchy Criterion) A series $\sum a_n$ converges iff for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\sum_{i=m}^n a_i| < \epsilon$ for all n > m > k.

4. (Weirstrass Criterion) Let $\sum a_n$ be a series of non-negative terms. Suppose there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that each partial sum of the series is less than *c*, i.e., for each *n*, $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j < c$. Then $\sum a_n$ is convergent.

Examples: The series $\sum \frac{-n}{3n+1}$ diverges because $\lim \frac{-n}{3n+1} = -\frac{1}{3} \neq 0$. The series $\sum (-1)^n$ diverges because $\lim (-1)^n$ does not exist. The harmonic series diverges even though $\lim \frac{1}{n} = 0$.

1. If $\sum a_n$ converges to a and $\sum b_n$ sums to b, then the series $\sum (a_n + b_n)$ sums to a + b; $\sum (a_n - b_n)$ sums to a - b; and $\sum kb_n$ sums to kb; where k is any real number.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

1. If $\sum a_n$ converges to a and $\sum b_n$ sums to b, then the series $\sum (a_n + b_n)$ sums to a + b; $\sum (a_n - b_n)$ sums to a - b; and $\sum kb_n$ sums to kb; where k is any real number.

2. If $\sum a_n$ converges and $\sum b_n$ diverges, then $\sum (a_n + b_n)$ and $\sum (a_n - b_n)$ diverge.

- 1. If $\sum a_n$ converges to a and $\sum b_n$ sums to b, then the series $\sum (a_n + b_n)$ sums to a + b; $\sum (a_n - b_n)$ sums to a - b; and $\sum kb_n$ sums to kb; where k is any real number.
- 2. If $\sum a_n$ converges and $\sum b_n$ diverges, then $\sum (a_n + b_n)$ and $\sum (a_n b_n)$ diverge.
- 3. If $\sum a_n$ diverges and $k \neq 0$, then $\sum ka_n$ diverges.

- 1. If $\sum a_n$ converges to a and $\sum b_n$ sums to b, then the series $\sum (a_n + b_n)$ sums to a + b; $\sum (a_n - b_n)$ sums to a - b; and $\sum kb_n$ sums to kb; where k is any real number.
- 2. If $\sum a_n$ converges and $\sum b_n$ diverges, then $\sum (a_n + b_n)$ and $\sum (a_n b_n)$ diverge.
- 3. If $\sum a_n$ diverges and $k \neq 0$, then $\sum ka_n$ diverges.

Here, if $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\ell + \infty = \infty$, $\ell - \infty = -\infty$. If $\ell > 0$, then $\ell \cdot (\pm \infty) = \pm \infty$. If $\ell < 0$, then $\ell \cdot (\pm \infty) = \mp \infty$. Also, $\infty + \infty = \infty$, $-\infty - \infty = -\infty$, $\infty \cdot \pm \infty = \pm \infty$, $-\infty \cdot \pm \infty = \mp \infty$. Whereas $0 \cdot \pm \infty$, $\infty - \infty$, $\pm \infty / \infty$ etc. are indeterminate.

Improper Integral

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

Improper Integral

In the definite integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx$, if at least one of *a* or *b* is $\pm\infty$, or the value of f(x) is $\pm\infty$ for some $x \in [a, b]$, or f(x) is not continuous at some points in (a, b)then the integral is called an **improper integral**.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

Improper Integral

In the definite integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$, if

at least one of *a* or *b* is $\pm \infty$, or the value of f(x) is $\pm \infty$ for some $x \in [a, b]$, or f(x) is not continuous at some points in (a, b)then the integral is called an **imprepari integral**

then the integral is called an improper integral.



イロト 不得 とうほう イヨン

But the area under the curve may still remain bounded.

Convergence

The improper integral $\int_0^\infty f(x) dx$ converges provided the limit

$$\lim_{b \to \infty} \int_0^b f(x) dx$$

exists. It means the limit must be a real number, NOT $\pm \infty$.

1

Then we say that the **value** of the improper integral is this limit. That is,

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)dx = \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_0^b f(x)dx$$

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー
Convergence

The improper integral $\int_0^\infty f(x) dx$ converges provided the limit

$$\lim_{b \to \infty} \int_0^b f(x) dx$$

exists. It means the limit must be a real number, NOT $\pm \infty$.

1

Then we say that the **value** of the improper integral is this limit. That is,

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)dx = \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_0^b f(x)dx$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

The improper integral **diverges** iff the limit above does not exist.

Possible types of improper integrals

1. If
$$f(x)$$
 is continuous on $[a, \infty)$, then $\int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$.
2. If $f(x)$ is continuous on $(-\infty, b]$, then $\int_{-\infty}^{b} f(x) dx = \lim_{a \to -\infty} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

3. If f(x) is continuous on $(-\infty, \infty)$, then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{c} f(x) \, dx + \int_{c}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx, \text{ for any } c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Possible types of improper integrals

1. If
$$f(x)$$
 is continuous on $[a, \infty)$, then $\int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$.
2. If $f(x)$ is continuous on $(-\infty, b]$, then $\int_{-\infty}^{b} f(x) dx = \lim_{a \to -\infty} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$

3. If f(x) is continuous on $(-\infty, \infty)$, then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{c} f(x) \, dx + \int_{c}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx, \text{ for any } c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

4. If f(x) is continuous on (a, b] and discontinuous at x = a, then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx = \lim_{t \to a+} \int_{t}^{b} f(x) \, dx.$$

5. If f(x) is continuous on [a, b) and discontinuous at x = b, then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx = \lim_{t \to b^{-}} \int_{a}^{t} f(x) \, dx.$$

6. If f(x) is continuous on $[a, c) \cup (c, b]$ and discontinuous at x = c, then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \int_{a}^{c} f(x) dx + \int_{c}^{b} f(x) dx.$$

Also, when improper integral diverges to $\pm \infty$, we take its value to be that.

For what values of $p \in \mathbb{R}$, the improper integral $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{p}}$ converges? What is its value, when it converges?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

For what values of $p \in \mathbb{R}$, the improper integral $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{p}}$ converges? What is its value, when it converges?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Case 1:
$$p = 1$$
. $\int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x^{p}} = \int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x} = \log b - \log 1 = \log b$.
Since $\lim_{b \to \infty} \log b = \infty$, the improper integral diverges to ∞ .

For what values of $p \in \mathbb{R}$, the improper integral $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{p}}$ converges? What is its value, when it converges?

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Case 1:
$$p = 1$$
. $\int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x^{p}} = \int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x} = \log b - \log 1 = \log b$.
Since $\lim_{b \to \infty} \log b = \infty$, the improper integral diverges to ∞ .

Case 2:
$$p < 1$$
. $\int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x^{p}} = \frac{-x^{-p+1}}{-p+1} \Big|_{1}^{b} = \frac{1}{1-p}(b^{1-p}-1).$

Since $\lim_{b\to\infty} b^{1-p} = \infty$, the improper integral diverges to ∞ .

For what values of $p \in \mathbb{R}$, the improper integral $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{p}}$ converges? What is its value, when it converges?

Case 1:
$$p = 1$$
. $\int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x^{p}} = \int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x} = \log b - \log 1 = \log b$.
Since $\lim_{b \to \infty} \log b = \infty$, the improper integral diverges to ∞ .

Case 2:
$$p < 1$$
. $\int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x^{p}} = \frac{-x^{-p+1}}{-p+1} \Big|_{1}^{b} = \frac{1}{1-p} (b^{1-p} - 1)$.
Since $\lim_{b \to \infty} b^{1-p} = \infty$, the improper integral diverges to ∞ .
Case 3: $p > 1$. $\int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x^{p}} = \frac{1}{1-p} (b^{1-p} - 1) = \frac{1}{1-p} (\frac{1}{b^{p-1}} - 1)$.
Since $\lim_{b \to \infty} \frac{1}{b^{p-1}} = 0$, we have
 $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{p}} = \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x^{p}} = \lim_{b \to \infty} \frac{1}{1-p} (\frac{1}{b^{p-1}} - 1) = \frac{1}{p-1}$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

For what values of $p \in \mathbb{R}$, the improper integral $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{p}}$ converges? What is its value, when it converges?

Case 1:
$$p = 1$$
. $\int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x^{p}} = \int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x} = \log b - \log 1 = \log b$.
Since $\lim_{b \to \infty} \log b = \infty$, the improper integral diverges to ∞ .

Case 2:
$$p < 1$$
. $\int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x^{p}} = \frac{-x^{-p+1}}{-p+1} \Big|_{1}^{b} = \frac{1}{1-p} (b^{1-p} - 1)$.
Since $\lim_{b \to \infty} b^{1-p} = \infty$, the improper integral diverges to ∞ .
Case 3: $p > 1$. $\int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x^{p}} = \frac{1}{1-p} (b^{1-p} - 1) = \frac{1}{1-p} (\frac{1}{b^{p-1}} - 1)$.
Since $\lim_{b \to \infty} \frac{1}{b^{p-1}} = 0$, we have
 $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{p}} = \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{1}^{b} \frac{dx}{x^{p}} = \lim_{b \to \infty} \frac{1}{1-p} (\frac{1}{b^{p-1}} - 1) = \frac{1}{p-1}$.
Hence, the improper integral $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{p}}$ converges to $\frac{1}{p-1}$ for $p > 1$ and diverges to ∞ for $p \le 1$.

For what values of $p \in \mathbb{R}$, the improper integral $\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^p}$ converges?

Case 1: p = 1. $\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^p} = \lim_{a \to 0^+} \int_a^1 \frac{dx}{x} = \lim_{a \to 0^+} [\log 1 - \log a] = \infty$. Therefore, the improper integral diverges to ∞ .

Case 2: p < 1. $\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^p} = \lim_{a \to 0+} \int_a^1 \frac{dx}{x^p} = \lim_{a \to 0+} \frac{1-a^{1-p}}{1-p} = \frac{1}{1-p}$. Therefore, the improper integral converges to 1/(1-p).

Case 3: p > 1. $\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^p} = \lim_{a \to 0^+} \frac{1-a^{1-p}}{1-p} = \lim_{a \to 0^+} \frac{1}{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{a^{p-1}} - 1\right) = \infty$. Hence the improper integral diverges to ∞ .

The improper integral $\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^p}$ converges to $\frac{1}{1-p}$ for p < 1 and diverges to ∞ for $p \ge 1$.

Convergence tests

Theorem: (Comparison Test) Let f(x) and g(x) be continuous functions on $[a, \infty)$. Suppose that $0 \le f(x) \le g(x)$ for all $x \ge a$.

- 1. If $\int_a^{\infty} g(x) dx$ converges, then $\int_a^{\infty} f(x) dx$ converges.
- 2. If $\int_a^{\infty} f(x) dx$ diverges to ∞ , then $\int_a^{\infty} g(x) dx$ diverges to ∞ .

Convergence tests

Theorem: (Comparison Test) Let f(x) and g(x) be continuous functions on $[a, \infty)$. Suppose that $0 \le f(x) \le g(x)$ for all $x \ge a$. 1. If $\int_a^{\infty} g(x) dx$ converges, then $\int_a^{\infty} f(x) dx$ converges. 2. If $\int_a^{\infty} f(x) dx$ diverges to ∞ , then $\int_a^{\infty} g(x) dx$ diverges to ∞ . Theorem: (Limit Comparison) Let f(x) and g(x) be positive continuous functions on $[a, \infty)$. If $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = L$, where $0 < L < \infty$, then $\int_a^{\infty} f(x) dx$ and $\int_a^{\infty} g(x) dx$ either both converge, or both diverge.

Convergence tests

Theorem: (Comparison Test) Let f(x) and g(x) be continuous functions on $[a, \infty)$. Suppose that $0 \le f(x) \le g(x)$ for all $x \ge a$. 1. If $\int_{a}^{\infty} g(x) dx$ converges, then $\int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) dx$ converges. 2. If $\int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) dx$ diverges to ∞ , then $\int_{a}^{\infty} g(x) dx$ diverges to ∞ . Theorem: (Limit Comparison) Let f(x) and g(x) be positive continuous functions on $[a, \infty)$. If $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = L$, where $0 < L < \infty$, then $\int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) dx$ and $\int_{a}^{\infty} g(x) dx$ either both converge, or both diverge. Theorem: (Absolute Convergence) Let f(x) be a continuous function on [a, b), for $b \in \mathbb{R}$ or $b = \infty$. If the improper integral $\int_a^b |f(x)| dx$ converges, then the improper integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$ also converges.

1.
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^{2} x}{x^{2}} dx \text{ converges. Reason?}$$
$$\frac{\sin^{2} x}{x^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{x^{2}} \text{ for all } x \geq 1 \text{ and } \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{2}} \text{ converges.}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

1.
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^{2} x}{x^{2}} dx \text{ converges. Reason?}$$
$$\frac{\sin^{2} x}{x^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{x^{2}} \text{ for all } x \geq 1 \text{ and } \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{2}} \text{ converges.}$$
2.
$$\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x^{2} - 1}} \text{ diverges to } \infty. \text{ Reason?}$$
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^{2} - 1}} \geq \frac{1}{x} \text{ for all } x \geq 2 \text{ and } \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x} \text{ diverges to } \infty.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

 $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1+x^{2}}$ converges or diverges? Since $\lim_{x \to \infty} \left[\frac{1}{1+x^{2}} / \frac{1}{x^{2}} \right] = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{x^{2}}{1+x^{2}} = 1$, the limit comparison test says that the given improper integral and $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{2}}$ both converge or diverge together. The latter converges, so does the former.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

 $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1+x^{2}}$ converges or diverges? Since $\lim_{x \to \infty} \left[\frac{1}{1+x^{2}} / \frac{1}{x^{2}} \right] = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{x^{2}}{1+x^{2}} = 1$, the limit comparison test says that the given improper integral and $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{2}}$ both converge or diverge together. The latter converges, so does the former. However, they may converge to different values.

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1+x^{2}} = \lim_{b \to \infty} [\tan^{-1} b - \tan^{-1} 1] = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{2}} = \lim_{b \to \infty} \left(\frac{-1}{b} - \frac{-1}{1}\right) = 1.$$

Does the improper integral
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{10^{10} dx}{e^{x} + 1}$$
 converge?

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{10^{10}}{e^{x} + 1} / \frac{1}{e^{x}} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{10^{10} e^{x}}{e^{x} + 1} = 10^{10}.$$
Also, $e \ge 2$ implies that for all $x \ge 1$, $e^{x} \ge x^{2}$. So, $e^{-x} \le x^{-2}$.
Since $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{2}}$ converges,
 $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{e^{x}}$ also converges.

By limit comparison test, the given improper integral converges.

Gamma function

Example 21: Show that $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt$ converges for x > 0.

Fix x > 0. Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{-t}t^{x+1} = 0$, there exists $t_0 \ge 1$ such that $0 < e^{-t}t^{x+1} < 1$ for $t > t_0$. Then

$$0 < e^{-t}t^{x-1} < t^{-2}$$
 for $t > t_0$.

Since $\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-2} dt$ is convergent, $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^{-2} dt$ is also convergent. By the comparison test,

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt \text{ is convergent.}$$

The integral $\int_{1}^{t_0} e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt$ exists and is not an improper integral.

Gamma function

Example 21: Show that $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt$ converges for x > 0.

Fix x > 0. Since $\lim_{t \to \infty} e^{-t} t^{x+1} = 0$, there exists $t_0 \ge 1$ such that $0 < e^{-t} t^{x+1} < 1$ for $t > t_0$. Then

$$0 < e^{-t}t^{x-1} < t^{-2}$$
 for $t > t_0$.

Since $\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-2} dt$ is convergent, $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^{-2} dt$ is also convergent. By the comparison test,

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt \text{ is convergent.}$$

The integral $\int_{1}^{t_0} e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt$ exists and is not an improper integral. Next, we consider the improper integral $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt$.

Gamma function Cont.

Let 0 < a < 1. For $a \le t \le 1$, we have $0 < e^{-t}t^{x-1} < t^{x-1}$. Since x > 0,

$$\int_{a}^{1} e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt < \int_{a}^{1} t^{x-1} dt = \frac{1-a^{x}}{x} < \frac{1}{x}.$$

Taking the limit as $a \rightarrow 0+$, we see that the

$$\int_0^1 e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt \text{ is convergent,}$$

and its value is less than or equal to 1/x. Therefore,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt = \int_0^1 e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt + \int_1^{t_0} e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt + \int_{t_0}^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ ● □ ● ● ●

is convergent.

Gamma function Cont.

Let 0 < a < 1. For $a \le t \le 1$, we have $0 < e^{-t}t^{x-1} < t^{x-1}$. Since x > 0,

$$\int_{a}^{1} e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt < \int_{a}^{1} t^{x-1} dt = \frac{1-a^{x}}{x} < \frac{1}{x}.$$

Taking the limit as $a \rightarrow 0+$, we see that the

$$\int_0^1 e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt \text{ is convergent,}$$

and its value is less than or equal to 1/x. Therefore,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt = \int_0^1 e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt + \int_1^{t_0} e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt + \int_{t_0}^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt$$

is convergent.

The function $\Gamma(x)$ is defined on $(0, \infty)$.

For x > 0, using integration by parts,

$$\Gamma(x+1) = \int_0^\infty t^x e^{-t} dt = \left[-t^x e^{-t} \right]_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty x t^{x-1} (-e^{-t}) dt = x \Gamma(x).$$

It thus follows that $\Gamma(n+1) = n!$ for any non-negative integer $n_{\overline{z}}$ $= -\infty \infty$



Test the convergence of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt$.



Test the convergence of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt$.

Since e^{-t^2} is continuous on [-1, 1], $\int_{-1}^{1} e^{-t^2} dt$ exists.

Test the convergence of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt$.

Since e^{-t^2} is continuous on [-1, 1], $\int_{-1}^{1} e^{-t^2} dt$ exists.

For t > 1, we have $t < t^2$. So, $0 < e^{-t^2} < e^{-t}$.

Since $\int_1^{\infty} e^{-t} dt$ is convergent, by the comparison test, $\int_1^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt$ is convergent.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Test the convergence of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt$.

Since e^{-t^2} is continuous on [-1, 1], $\int_{-1}^{1} e^{-t^2} dt$ exists.

For t > 1, we have $t < t^2$. So, $0 < e^{-t^2} < e^{-t}$.

Since $\int_1^{\infty} e^{-t} dt$ is convergent, by the comparison test, $\int_1^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt$ is convergent.

Now,
$$\int_{-a}^{-1} e^{-t^2} dt = \int_{a}^{1} e^{-t^2} d(-t) = \int_{1}^{a} e^{-t^2} dt.$$

Taking limit as $a \to \infty$, we see that $\int_{-\infty}^{-1} e^{-t^2} dt$ is convergent and its value is equal to $\int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt$.

Test the convergence of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt$.

Since e^{-t^2} is continuous on [-1, 1], $\int_{-1}^{1} e^{-t^2} dt$ exists.

For t > 1, we have $t < t^2$. So, $0 < e^{-t^2} < e^{-t}$.

Since $\int_1^{\infty} e^{-t} dt$ is convergent, by the comparison test, $\int_1^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt$ is convergent.

Now,
$$\int_{-a}^{-1} e^{-t^2} dt = \int_{a}^{1} e^{-t^2} d(-t) = \int_{1}^{a} e^{-t^2} dt.$$

Taking limit as $a \to \infty$, we see that $\int_{-\infty}^{-1} e^{-t^2} dt$ is convergent and its value is equal to $\int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt$.

Combining the three integrals above, we conclude that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt$ converges.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Other forms of $\Gamma(x)$

The Gamma function takes other forms by substitution of the variable of integration. Substituting *t* by *rt* we have

$$\Gamma(x) = r^x \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} t^{x-1} dt$$
 for $0 < r, 0 < x$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへぐ

Other forms of $\Gamma(x)$

The Gamma function takes other forms by substitution of the variable of integration. Substituting *t* by *rt* we have

$$\Gamma(x) = r^x \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} t^{x-1} dt \quad \text{for } 0 < r, \ 0 < x.$$

Substituting *t* by t^2 , we have

$$\Gamma(x) = 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-t^2} t^{2x-1} dt$$
 for $0 < x$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

 $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{-1/2} \, dx = 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-t^2} \, dt \qquad (x = t^2)$$

To evaluate this integral, consider the double integral of $e^{-x^2-y^2}$ over two circular sectors D_1 and D_2 , and the square *S* as indicated. Since the integrand is positive, we have $\iint_{D_1} < \iint_S < \iint_{D_2}$.



 $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{-1/2} \, dx = 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-t^2} \, dt \qquad (x = t^2)$$

To evaluate this integral, consider the double integral of $e^{-x^2-y^2}$ over two circular sectors D_1 and D_2 , and the square *S* as indicated. Since the integrand is positive, we have $\iint_{D_1} < \iint_S < \iint_{D_2}$.



・ ロ ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 日 ト

-

Now, evaluate these integrals by converting them to iterated integrals as follows:

$$\int_0^R e^{-r^2} r \, dr \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta < \int_0^R e^{-x^2} \, dx \int_0^R e^{-y^2} \, dy < \int_0^{R\sqrt{2}} e^{-r^2} r \, dr \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta$$

So, $\frac{\pi}{4} (1 - e^{-R^2}) < \left(\int_0^R e^{-x^2} \, dx\right)^2 < \frac{\pi}{4} (1 - e^{-2R^2})$

Take the limit as $R \to \infty$ to obtain

$$\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-x^2}\,dx\right)^2 = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

 $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{-1/2} \, dx = 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-t^2} \, dt \qquad (x = t^2)$$

To evaluate this integral, consider the double integral of $e^{-x^2-y^2}$ over two circular sectors D_1 and D_2 , and the square *S* as indicated. Since the integrand is positive, we have $\iint_{D_1} < \iint_S < \iint_{D_2}$.



Now, evaluate these integrals by converting them to iterated integrals as follows:

$$\int_0^R e^{-r^2} r \, dr \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta < \int_0^R e^{-x^2} \, dx \int_0^R e^{-y^2} \, dy < \int_0^{R\sqrt{2}} e^{-r^2} r \, dr \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta$$

So, $\frac{\pi}{4} (1 - e^{-R^2}) < \left(\int_0^R e^{-x^2} \, dx\right)^2 < \frac{\pi}{4} (1 - e^{-2R^2})$

Take the limit as $R \to \infty$ to obtain

$$\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-x^2}\,dx\right)^2 = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

That is, $[\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})]^2 = 4\frac{\pi}{4} = \pi$. As $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) > 0$, we get the required result.

Show that
$$B(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt$$
 converges for $x > 0, y > 0$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Show that $B(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt$ converges for x > 0, y > 0. Write the integral as a sum of two integrals:

$$B(x, y) = \int_0^{1/2} t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt + \int_{1/2}^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt$$

Setting u = 1 - t, the second integral looks like

$$\int_{1/2}^{1} t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt = \int_{0}^{1/2} u^{y-1} (1-u)^{x-1} du$$

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

Therefore, it is enough to show that the first integral converges.

Show that $B(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} dt$ converges for x > 0, y > 0. Write the integral as a sum of two integrals:

$$B(x, y) = \int_0^{1/2} t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt + \int_{1/2}^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt$$

Setting u = 1 - t, the second integral looks like

$$\int_{1/2}^{1} t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt = \int_{0}^{1/2} u^{y-1} (1-u)^{x-1} du$$

Therefore, it is enough to show that the first integral converges.Notice that here, $0 < t \le 1/2$.

Show that $B(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} dt$ converges for x > 0, y > 0. Write the integral as a sum of two integrals:

$$B(x, y) = \int_0^{1/2} t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt + \int_{1/2}^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt$$

Setting u = 1 - t, the second integral looks like

$$\int_{1/2}^{1} t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt = \int_{0}^{1/2} u^{y-1} (1-u)^{x-1} du$$

Therefore, it is enough to show that the first integral converges.Notice that here, $0 < t \le 1/2$.

Case 1: $x \ge 1$.

For $0 \le t \le 1/2$, 1 - t > 0. For all y > 0, the function $(1 - t)^{y-1}$ is well defined, continuous, and bounded on [0, 1/2]. So is the function t^{x-1} . Therefore, the integral $\int_0^{1/2} t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} dt$ exists and is not an improper integral.

Beta function Cont.

Case 2: 0 < x < 1. The function $(1 - t)^{y-1}$ is well defined and continuous on $0 \le t \le 1/2$. So, let *c* be an upper bound of it. Then for $0 < t \le 1/2$, $t^{x-1}(1 - t)^{y-1} \le c t^{x-1}$. The function $c t^{x-1}$ is well defined and continuous on (0, 1/2]. As done earlier, the integral $\int_0^{1/2} c t^{x-1} dt$ converges. Therefore, $\int_0^{1/2} t^{x-1}(1 - t)^{y-1} dt$ converges.
Case 2: 0 < x < 1. The function $(1 - t)^{y-1}$ is well defined and continuous on $0 \le t \le 1/2$. So, let *c* be an upper bound of it. Then for $0 < t \le 1/2$, $t^{x-1}(1 - t)^{y-1} \le c t^{x-1}$. The function $c t^{x-1}$ is well defined and continuous on (0, 1/2]. As done earlier, the integral $\int_0^{1/2} c t^{x-1} dt$ converges. Therefore, $\int_0^{1/2} t^{x-1}(1 - t)^{y-1} dt$ converges.

Other forms of the beta function:

By setting *t* as 1 - t, we see that B(x, y) = B(y, x).

Case 2: 0 < x < 1. The function $(1 - t)^{y-1}$ is well defined and continuous on $0 \le t \le 1/2$. So, let *c* be an upper bound of it. Then for $0 < t \le 1/2$, $t^{x-1}(1 - t)^{y-1} \le c t^{x-1}$. The function $c t^{x-1}$ is well defined and continuous on (0, 1/2]. As done earlier, the integral $\int_0^{1/2} c t^{x-1} dt$ converges. Therefore, $\int_0^{1/2} t^{x-1}(1 - t)^{y-1} dt$ converges.

Other forms of the beta function:

By setting *t* as 1 - t, we see that B(x, y) = B(y, x).

Substituting t with $\sin^2 t$, Beta function can be written as $B(x, y) = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin t)^{2x-1} (\cos t)^{2y-1} dt, \quad \text{for } x > 0, \ y > 0.$

Case 2: 0 < x < 1. The function $(1 - t)^{y-1}$ is well defined and continuous on $0 \le t \le 1/2$. So, let *c* be an upper bound of it. Then for $0 < t \le 1/2$, $t^{x-1}(1 - t)^{y-1} \le c t^{x-1}$. The function $c t^{x-1}$ is well defined and continuous on (0, 1/2]. As done earlier, the integral $\int_0^{1/2} c t^{x-1} dt$ converges. Therefore, $\int_0^{1/2} t^{x-1}(1 - t)^{y-1} dt$ converges.

Other forms of the beta function:

By setting *t* as 1 - t, we see that B(x, y) = B(y, x).

Substituting *t* with sin² *t*, Beta function can be written as $B(x, y) = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin t)^{2x-1} (\cos t)^{2y-1} dt, \text{ for } x > 0, y > 0.$

Changing the variable *t* to t/(1 + t), Beta function can be written as $B(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{x+1}}{(1+t)^{x+y}} dt \quad \text{for } x > 0, \ y > 0.$

Case 2: 0 < x < 1. The function $(1 - t)^{y-1}$ is well defined and continuous on $0 \le t \le 1/2$. So, let *c* be an upper bound of it. Then for $0 < t \le 1/2$, $t^{x-1}(1 - t)^{y-1} \le c t^{x-1}$. The function $c t^{x-1}$ is well defined and continuous on (0, 1/2]. As done earlier, the integral $\int_0^{1/2} c t^{x-1} dt$ converges. Therefore, $\int_0^{1/2} t^{x-1}(1 - t)^{y-1} dt$ converges.

Other forms of the beta function:

By setting *t* as 1 - t, we see that B(x, y) = B(y, x).

Substituting t with $\sin^2 t$, Beta function can be written as

$$B(x, y) = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin t)^{2x-1} (\cos t)^{2y-1} dt, \quad \text{for } x > 0, \ y > 0.$$

Changing the variable *t* to t/(1 + t), Beta function can be written as $B(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{x+1}}{(1+t)^{x+y}} dt \quad \text{for } x > 0, \ y > 0.$

Using multiple integrals it can be shown that

$$B(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)} \quad \text{for } x > 0, \ y > 0.$$

Integral test for series

Let $\sum a_n$ be a series of positive terms. Let $f : [1, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous, positive and non-increasing function such that $a_n = f(n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- 1. If $\int_{1}^{\infty} f(t)dt$ is convergent, then $\sum a_n$ is convergent.
- 2. If $\int_{1}^{\infty} f(t)dt$ diverges to ∞ , then $\sum a_n$ diverges to ∞ .

Integral test for series

Let $\sum a_n$ be a series of positive terms. Let $f : [1, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous, positive and non-increasing function such that $a_n = f(n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- 1. If $\int_{1}^{\infty} f(t)dt$ is convergent, then $\sum a_n$ is convergent.
- 2. If $\int_{1}^{\infty} f(t)dt$ diverges to ∞ , then $\sum a_n$ diverges to ∞ .

Proof: Since f is a positive and non-increasing, the integrals and the partial sums have a certain relation.



$$\int_{1}^{n+1} f(t) dt \leq a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n \leq a_1 + \int_{1}^{n} f(t) dt.$$

If $\int_{1}^{\infty} f(t) dt$ is finite, then $\sum a_n$ is convergent. If $\int_{1}^{\infty} f(t) dt = \infty$, then $\sum a_n$ diverges to ∞ .

Show that
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$$
 converges for $p > 1$ and diverges for $p \le 1$.

Show that
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$$
 converges for $p > 1$ and diverges for $p \le 1$.

For p = 1, the series is the harmonic series; and it diverges to ∞ .

Show that
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$$
 converges for $p > 1$ and diverges for $p \le 1$.

For p = 1, the series is the harmonic series; and it diverges to ∞ . Suppose $p \neq 1$. Consider the function $f(t) = 1/t^p$ from $[1, \infty)$ to \mathbb{R} . This is a continuous, positive and decreasing function.

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{p}} dt = \lim_{b \to \infty} \frac{t^{-p+1}}{-p+1} \Big|_{1}^{b} = \frac{1}{1-p} \lim_{b \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{b^{p-1}} - 1\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p-1} & \text{if } p > 1\\ \infty & \text{if } p < 1. \end{cases}$$

Then the Integral test proves the statement.

For p > 1, the sum of the series $\sum n^{-p}$ need not be equal to $(p - 1)^{-1}$.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Comparison Test

Theorem: Let $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ be series of non-negative terms. Suppose there exists k > 0 such that $0 \le a_n \le kb_n$ for each *n* greater than some natural number *m*.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- 1. If $\sum b_n$ converges, then $\sum a_n$ converges.
- 2. If $\sum a_n$ diverges to ∞ , then $\sum b_n$ diverges to ∞ .

Comparison Test

Theorem: Let $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ be series of non-negative terms. Suppose there exists k > 0 such that $0 \le a_n \le kb_n$ for each *n* greater than some natural number *m*.

- 1. If $\sum b_n$ converges, then $\sum a_n$ converges.
- 2. If $\sum a_n$ diverges to ∞ , then $\sum b_n$ diverges to ∞ .

Proof: (1) Consider all partial sums of the series having more than *m* terms.

$$a_1 + \dots + a_m + a_{m+1} + \dots + a_n \le a_1 + \dots + a_m + k \sum_{j=m+1}^n b_j.$$

П

Since $\sum b_n$ converges, so does $\sum_{j=m+1}^n b_j$. By Weirstrass criterion, $\sum a_n$ converges.

(2) Similar to (1).

Ratio Comparison Test

Theorem: Let $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ be series of non-negative terms. Suppose there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $a_n > 0$, $b_n > 0$,

and $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \le \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n}$.

- 1. If $\sum b_n$ converges, then $\sum a_n$ converges.
- 2. If $\sum a_n$ diverges to ∞ , then $\sum b_n$ diverges to ∞ .

Ratio Comparison Test

Theorem: Let $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ be series of non-negative terms. Suppose there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $a_n > 0$, $b_n > 0$, and $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \leq \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n}$. 1. If $\sum b_n$ converges, then $\sum a_n$ converges. 2. If $\sum a_n$ diverges to ∞ , then $\sum b_n$ diverges to ∞ . *Proof*: For n > m, $a_n = \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_{n-2}} \cdots \frac{a_{m+2}}{a_{m+1}} a_{m+1} \le \frac{b_n}{b_{n-1}} \frac{b_{n-1}}{b_{m-2}} \cdots \frac{b_{m+2}}{b_{m+1}} a_{m+1} = \frac{a_{m+1}}{b_{m+1}} b_n.$

By the Comparison test, if $\sum b_n$ converges, then $\sum a_n$ converges. This proves (1).

And, (2) follows from (1) by contradiction.

П

Limit Comparison Test

Theorem: Let $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ be series of non-negative terms. Suppose that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $a_n > 0$, $b_n > 0$, and that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = k$.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

1. If k > 0 then $\sum b_n$ and $\sum a_n$ converge or diverge to ∞ , together.

2. If k = 0 and $\sum b_n$ converges, then $\sum a_n$ converges.

3. If $k = \infty$ and $\sum b_n$ diverges to ∞ then $\sum a_n$ diverges to ∞ .

Limit Comparison Test

Theorem: Let $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ be series of non-negative terms. Suppose that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $a_n > 0$, $b_n > 0$, and that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = k$.

1. If k > 0 then $\sum b_n$ and $\sum a_n$ converge or diverge to ∞ , together.

2. If k = 0 and $\sum b_n$ converges, then $\sum a_n$ converges.

3. If $k = \infty$ and $\sum b_n$ diverges to ∞ then $\sum a_n$ diverges to ∞ .

Proof: (1) k > 0. Let $\epsilon = k/2$. The limit condition implies that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{k}{2} < \frac{a_n}{b_n} < \frac{3k}{2} \quad \text{for each } n > m.$$

By the Comparison test, the conclusion is obtained.

(2) k = 0. Let $\epsilon = 1$. The limit condition implies that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$-1 < \frac{a_n}{b_n} < 1$$
 for each $n > m$.

Using the right hand inequality and the Comparison test we conclude that convergence of $\sum b_n$ implies the convergence of $\sum a_n$.

(3)
$$k = \infty$$
. Then $\lim(b_n/a_n) = 0$. Use (2).

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n! \ge 2^{n-1}$. That is, $\frac{1}{n!} \le \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ is convergent, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}$ is convergent. Therefore, adding 1 to it, the series $1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \dots + \frac{1}{n!} + \dots$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

is convergent.

For each
$$n \in \mathbb{N}$$
, $n! \ge 2^{n-1}$. That is, $\frac{1}{n!} \le \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$.
Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ is convergent, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}$ is convergent. Therefore, adding 1 to it, the series

$$1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \dots + \frac{1}{n!} + \dots$$

is convergent.

In fact, this series converges to e. Consider

$$s_n = 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \dots + \frac{1}{n!}, \quad t_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n.$$

By the Binomial theorem,

$$t_n = 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) + \dots + \frac{1}{n!} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{n} \right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{n-1}{n} \right) \right] \le s_n.$$

Thus taking limit as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$e = \lim_{n \to \infty} t_n \le \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n.$$

Example 25 Cntd.

Also, for n > m, where m is any fixed natural number,

$$t_n > \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^m = 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!}\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) + \dots + \frac{1}{m!}\left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \frac{m-1}{n}\right)\right]$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ we have

$$e=\lim_{n\to\infty}t_n\ge s_m.$$

Since *m* is arbitrary, taking the limit as $m \to \infty$, we have

$$e \geq \lim_{m \to \infty} s_m.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{m \to \infty} s_m = e$. That is,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} = e.$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Determine when the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+7}{n(n+3)\sqrt{n+5}}$$
 converges.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Determine when the series
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+7}{n(n+3)\sqrt{n+5}}$$
 converges.
Let $a_n = \frac{n+7}{n(n+3)\sqrt{n+5}}$ and $b_n = \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}$. Then
 $\frac{a_n}{b_n} = \frac{\sqrt{n(n+7)}}{(n+3)\sqrt{n+5}} \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$
Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}$ is convergent,
Limit comparison test says that the given series is convergent.

Determine when the series
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+7}{n(n+3)\sqrt{n+5}}$$
 converges.
Let $a_n = \frac{n+7}{n(n+3)\sqrt{n+5}}$ and $b_n = \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}$. Then
 $\frac{a_n}{b_n} = \frac{\sqrt{n(n+7)}}{(n+3)\sqrt{n+5}} \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$.
Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}$ is convergent,
Limit comparison test says that the given series is convergent.

We will next use some properties of integrals with infinite limits.

Cauchy's Root test

Let $\sum a_n$ be a series of positive terms. Suppose $\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_n)^{1/n} = \ell$.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- 1. If $\ell < 1$, then $\sum a_n$ converges.
- 2. If $\ell > 1$ or $\ell = \infty$, then $\sum a_n$ diverges to ∞ .
- 3. If $\ell = 1$, then no conclusion is obtained.

Cauchy's Root test

Let $\sum a_n$ be a series of positive terms. Suppose $\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_n)^{1/n} = \ell$.

1. If $\ell < 1$, then $\sum a_n$ converges.

2. If $\ell > 1$ or $\ell = \infty$, then $\sum a_n$ diverges to ∞ .

3. If $\ell = 1$, then no conclusion is obtained.

Proof: (1) Suppose $\ell < 1$. Choose δ such that $\ell < \delta < 1$. Due to the limit condition, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m, $(a_n)^{1/n} < \delta$. That is, $a_n < \delta^n$. Since $0 < \delta < 1$, $\sum \delta^n$ converges. By Comparison test, $\sum a_n$ converges.

(2) Given that $\ell > 1$ or $\ell = \infty$, we see that $(a_n)^{1/n} > 1$ for infinitely many values of *n*. That is, the sequence $((a_n)^{1/n})$ does not converge to 0. Therefore, $\sum a_n$ is divergent. It diverges to ∞ since it is a series of positive terms.

(3) Once again, for both the series $\sum (1/n)$ and $\sum (1/n^2)$, we see that $(a_n)^{1/n}$ has the limit 1. But one is divergent, the other is convergent. \Box

Root to Ratio

Theorem Let (a_n) be a sequence of positive terms. If $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \ell \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\ell = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n^{1/n}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへぐ

Root to Ratio

Theorem Let (a_n) be a sequence of positive terms. If $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \ell \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\ell = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n^{1/n}$. *Proof:* Let $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \ell$. Suppose $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then we have an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n > m, $\ell - \epsilon < \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} < \ell + \epsilon$. Use the right side inequality first. Let n > m. Then

$$a_n = \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} \cdot \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_{n-2}} \cdots \frac{a_{m+2}}{a_{m+1}} \cdot a_{m+1} \le (\ell + \epsilon)^{n-m-1} a_{m+1}.$$

$$(a_n)^{1/n} \le (\ell + \epsilon)((\ell + \epsilon)^{-m-1} a_{m+1})^{1/n} \to \ell + \epsilon \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

By Weirstrass criterion, the sequence $(a_n)^{1/n}$ converges, and $\lim (a_n)^{1/n} \le \ell + \epsilon$ for every $\epsilon > 0$. That is, $\lim (a_n)^{1/n} \le \ell$. Similarly, the left side inequality gives $\lim (a_n)^{1/n} \ge \ell$. This shows that $\lim (a_n)^{1/n} = \ell$.

D' Alembert's Ratio test

Let $\sum a_n$ be a series of positive terms. Suppose $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \ell$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

- 1. If $\ell < 1$, then $\sum a_n$ converges.
- 2. If $\ell > 1$ or $\ell = \infty$, then $\sum a_n$ diverges to ∞ .
- 3. If $\ell = 1$, then no conclusion is obtained.

D' Alembert's Ratio test

Let $\sum a_n$ be a series of positive terms. Suppose $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \ell$.

- 1. If $\ell < 1$, then $\sum a_n$ converges.
- 2. If $\ell > 1$ or $\ell = \infty$, then $\sum a_n$ diverges to ∞ .
- 3. If $\ell = 1$, then no conclusion is obtained.

Proof: When $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, the conclusions follow from Cauchy's root test and the last theorem.

If
$$\ell = \infty$$
, then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} > 1$.

Then $\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n$ is a series of positive and increasing terms. Therefore, it diverges to ∞ .

The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges to ∞ .

Does the series
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{n^n}$$
 converge?

Write $a_n = n!/(n^n)$. Then

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{(n+1)!n^n}{(n+1)^{n+1}(n!)} = \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^n \to \frac{1}{e} < 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

- ロ ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 1 回 - 9 9 9 9

By D' Alembert's ratio test, the series converges.

Then it follows that the sequence $\left(\frac{n!}{n^n}\right)$ converges to 0.

Does the series
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{(-1)^{n+1}-n} = 2 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{16} + \cdots$$
 converge?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Does the series
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{(-1)^{n+1}-n} = 2 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{16} + \cdots$$
 converge?
Let $a_n = 2^{(-1)^{n+1}-n}$. Then
$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \begin{cases} 1/8 & \text{if } n \text{ even} \\ 2 & \text{if } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, its limit does not exist. But

$$(a_n)^{1/n} = \begin{cases} 2^{1/n-1} & \text{if } n \text{ even} \\ 2^{-1/n-1} & \text{if } n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

This has limit 1/2 < 1. Therefore, by Root test, the series converges.

Alternating Series

(Leibniz) Let (a_n) be a sequence of positive terms decreasing to 0; that is, for each $n, a_n \ge a_{n+1} > 0$, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$. Then the series

 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} a_n$ converges, and its sum lies between $a_1 - a_2$ and a_1 .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Alternating Series

(Leibniz) Let (a_n) be a sequence of positive terms decreasing to 0; that is, for each $n, a_n \ge a_{n+1} > 0$, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$. Then the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} a_n$ converges, and its sum lies between $a_1 - a_2$ and a_1 .

Proof: Let s_n be the partial sum upto *n* terms. Then

$$s_{2n} = (a_1 - a_2) + (a_3 - a_4) + \dots + (a_{2n-1} - a_{2n})$$

= $a_1 - [(a_2 - a_3) + \dots + (a_{2n-2} - a_{2n-1})] - a_{2n}.$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, s_{2n} is a sum of *n* positive terms bounded above by a_1 and below by $a_1 - a_2$. By Weirstrass criterion, $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_{2n} = s$, where $a_1 - a_2 \le s \le a_1$. Now, $s_{2n+1} = s_{2n} + a_{2n+1}$. It follows that $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_{2n+1} = s$. Therefore, $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = s$. That is, the series sums to some *s* with $a_1 - a_2 \le s \le a_1$.

 $1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \cdots$ is convergent to some *s* with $1/2 \le s \le 1$. But $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \cdots$ is divergent to ∞ .

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

 $1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \cdots$ is convergent to some *s* with $1/2 \le s \le 1$. But $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \cdots$ is divergent to ∞ .

We say that the series $\sum a_n$ is **absolutely convergent** iff the series $\sum |a_n|$ is convergent.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \cdots$ is convergent to some *s* with $1/2 \le s \le 1$. But $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \cdots$ is divergent to ∞ .

We say that the series $\sum a_n$ is **absolutely convergent** iff the series $\sum |a_n|$ is convergent.

An alternating series $\sum a_n$ is said to be **conditionally convergent** iff it is convergent but it is not absolutely convergent.

 $1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \cdots$ is convergent to some *s* with $1/2 \le s \le 1$. But $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \cdots$ is divergent to ∞ .

We say that the series $\sum a_n$ is **absolutely convergent** iff the series $\sum |a_n|$ is convergent.

An alternating series $\sum a_n$ is said to be **conditionally convergent** iff it is convergent but it is not absolutely convergent.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Thus, the alternating harmonic series is conditionally convergent.

The series $1 - \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} - \frac{1}{4^2} + \cdots$ is absolutely convergent.

It is also convergent. Why?
Abs. Conv. \Rightarrow Conv.

Theorem: An absolutely convergent series is convergent.

Abs. Conv. \Rightarrow Conv.

Theorem: An absolutely convergent series is convergent.

Proof: Let $\sum a_n$ be an absolutely convergent series. Then $\sum |a_n|$ is convergent.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. By Cauchy criterion, there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > m > n_0$, we have

$$|a_m|+|a_{m+1}|+\cdots+|a_n|<\epsilon.$$

Now,

$$|a_m + a_{m+1} + \dots + a_n| \le |a_m| + |a_{m+1}| + \dots + |a_n| < \epsilon.$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Again, by Cauchy criterion, the series $\sum a_n$ is convergent.

1. The series $\sum \frac{1}{2^n}$ converges.

Therefore, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{2^n}$ converges absolutely; hence it converges.

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

1. The series $\sum \frac{1}{2^n}$ converges.

Therefore, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{2^n}$ converges absolutely; hence it converges.

2. $\left|\frac{\cos n}{n^2}\right| \le \frac{1}{n^2}$ and $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$ converges. By comparison test, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos n}{n^2}$ converges absolutely; and hence it converges.

1. The series $\sum \frac{1}{2^n}$ converges.

Therefore, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{2^n}$ converges absolutely; hence it converges.

- 2. $\left|\frac{\cos n}{n^2}\right| \le \frac{1}{n^2}$ and $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$ converges. By comparison test, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos n}{n^2}$ converges absolutely; and hence it converges.
- 3. For p > 1, the series $\sum n^{-p}$ converges. Therefore, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^p}$ converges absolutely for p > 1.

For 0 , by Leibniz test, the series converges. $But <math>\sum n^{-p}$ does not converge.

Therefore, the given series converges conditionally for 0 .

For $p \le 0$, $\lim \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^p} \ne 0$. Therefore, the given series diverges.

Does the series
$$1 - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{25} + \frac{1}{49} - \cdots$$
 converge?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Does the series
$$1 - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{25} + \frac{1}{49} - \cdots$$
 converge?

Solution: Here, the series has been made up from the terms $1/n^2$ by taking first one term, next two negative terms of squares of next even numbers, then three positive terms which are squares of next three odd numbers, and so on. This is a rearrangement of the series

$$1 - \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} - \frac{1}{4^2} + \frac{1}{5^2} - \frac{1}{6^2} + \cdots$$

which is absolutely convergent (since $\sum (1/n^2)$ is convergent).

Therefore, the given series is convergent and its sum is the same as that of the alternating series $\sum (-1)^{n+1} (1/n^2)$.

Power series

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. A **power series about** x = a is a series of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x-a)^n = a_0 + a_1 (x-a) + a_2 (x-a)^2 + \cdots$$

The point *a* is called the **center** of the power series and the real numbers $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots$ are its **co-efficients**.

If the power series converges to f(x) for all $x \in D$, for some subset D of \mathbb{R} , then we say that the power series **sums to** the function f(x), whose domain is D.

In such a case, we also say that the power series **represents** the function f(x).

Show that the following power series converges for 0 < x < 4.

$$1 - \frac{1}{2}(x-2) + \frac{1}{4}(x-2)^2 - \dots + \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n}(x-2)^n + \dots$$

It is a geometric series with the ratio as r = (-1/2)(x-2). Thus it converges for |(-1/2)(x-2)| < 1. Simplifying we get the constraint as 0 < x < 4.

The power series sums to

$$\frac{1}{1-r} = \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{2}(x-2)} = \frac{2}{x}.$$

Thus, the power series gives a series expansion of the function $\frac{2}{x}$ for 0 < x < 4. Truncating the series to *n* terms give us polynomial approximations of the function $\frac{2}{x}$.

Convergence of Power series

Theorem: (1) If the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ converges for x = c for some c > 0, then it converges absolutely for all x with |x| < c. (2) If the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ diverges for x = d for some d > 0, then the it diverges for all x with |x| > d.

Convergence of Power series

Theorem: (1) If the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ converges for x = c for some c > 0, then it converges absolutely for all x with |x| < c. (2) If the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ diverges for x = d for some d > 0, then the it diverges for all x with |x| > d.

Proof: (1) Suppose the power series $\sum a_n x^n$ converges for x = c for some c > 0. Then the series $\sum a_n c^n$ converges. Thus $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n c^n = 0$. Then we have an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n > m, $|a_n c^n| < 1$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that |x| < c. Write $t = |\frac{x}{c}|$. For each n > m, we have

$$|a_n x^n| = |a_n c^n| \left| \frac{x}{c} \right|^n < \left| \frac{x}{c} \right|^n = t^n.$$

As $0 \le t < 1$, the geometric series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n$ converges. By comparison test, for any *x* with |x| < c, the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n x|^n$ converges. That is, the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ converges absolutely for all *x* with |x| < c.

(2) If the power series converges for some α with $|\alpha| > d$, then by (1), it must converge for x = d, a contradiction.

Radius & Interval of Convergence

For the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x-a)^n$, the real number

 $R = \text{lub}\{c \ge 0: \text{ the power series converges for all } x \text{ with } |x-a| < c\}$

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

is called the radius of convergence of the power series.

Radius & Interval of Convergence

For the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x-a)^n$, the real number

 $R = \text{lub}\{c \ge 0: \text{ the power series converges for all } x \text{ with } |x-a| < c\}$

is called the radius of convergence of the power series.

That is, *R* is such non-negative number that the power series converges for all *x* with |x - a| < R and it diverges for all *x* with |x - a| > R.

Radius & Interval of Convergence

For the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x-a)^n$, the real number

 $R = \text{lub}\{c \ge 0: \text{ the power series converges for all } x \text{ with } |x-a| < c\}$

is called the radius of convergence of the power series.

That is, *R* is such non-negative number that the power series converges for all *x* with |x - a| < R and it diverges for all *x* with |x - a| > R.

If the radius of convergence of the power series $\sum a_n(x-a)^n$ is R, then the **interval of convergence** of the power series is

[a - R, a + R] if it converges at x = a - R and converges at x = a + R. [a - R, a + R) if it converges at x = a - R and diverges at x = a + R. (a - R, a + R] if it diverges at x = a - R and converges at x = a + R. (a - R, a + R) if it diverges at both x = a - R and x = a + R.

Determining R

Theorem: Let *R* be the radius of convergence of the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x-a)^n$ Then (1) $R = \lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n|^{-1/n}$ if this limit is in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. (2) $R = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right|$ if this limit is in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Determining R

Theorem: Let *R* be the radius of convergence of the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x-a)^n$ Then (1) $R = \lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n|^{-1/n}$ if this limit is in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. (2) $R = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right|$ if this limit is in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. *Proof*: (1A) Let $r = \lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n|^{1/n}$. We consider two cases. (a) Let $|x| < \frac{1}{r}$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n x^n|^{1/n} = |x| \lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = |x| \cdot \frac{1}{r} < 1$. By the root test, the series $\sum a_n x^n$ is absolutely convergent, and hence, convergent.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ つ へ つ ・

Determining R

Theorem: Let *R* be the radius of convergence of the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x-a)^n$ Then (1) $R = \lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n|^{-1/n}$ if this limit is in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. (2) $R = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right|$ if this limit is in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. *Proof:* (1A) Let $r = \lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n|^{1/n}$. We consider two cases. (a) Let $|x| < \frac{1}{r}$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n x^n|^{1/n} = |x| \lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = |x| \cdot \frac{1}{r} < 1$. By the root test, the series $\sum a_n x^n$ is absolutely convergent, and hence, convergent.

(b) Let $|x| > \frac{1}{r}$. Choose α such that $\frac{1}{r} < \alpha < |x|$. If the power series converges for *x*, then by the convergence theorem for power series, the series $\sum |a_n \alpha^n|$ converges. However,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |a_n\alpha^n|^{1/n} = |\alpha| \lim_{n\to\infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = |\alpha| \cdot \frac{1}{r} > 1.$$

By the root test, $\sum |a_n \alpha^n|$ diverges. This is a contradiction. Therefore, The power series $\sum a_n x^n$ diverges when $|x| > \frac{1}{r}$. From (a) and (b), we conclude that $R = \frac{1}{r}$.

Determining R Cont.

(1B) Let $r = \infty$. Then for any $x \neq a$,

$$\lim |a_n(x-a)^n| = \lim |x-a| |a_n|^{1/n} = \infty.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

By Root test, $\sum a_n(x-a)^n$ diverges for each $x \neq a$. Thus, R = 0.

Determining R Cont.

(1B) Let
$$r = \infty$$
. Then for any $x \neq a$,
 $\lim |a_n(x-a)^n| = \lim |x-a||a_n|^{1/n} = \infty$.
By Root test, $\sum a_n(x-a)^n$ diverges for each $x \neq a$. Thus, $R = 0$.
(1C) Let $r = 0$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim |a_n(x-a)^n|^{1/n} = |x-a| \lim |a_n|^{1/n} = 0.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

By Root test, the series converges for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$. So, $R = \infty$. This proves (1).

For (2), use Ratio test instead of Root test.

Special Property



(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへの

Special Property

Theorem:
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$$
 and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| x^n$ have equal radii of convergence.

Proof: Let *R* be the radius of convergence of $\sum |a_n|x^n$, and let *r* be the radius of convergence of $\sum a_n x^n$. Suppose $R \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\sum |a_n|x^n$ converges for x = c, then $\sum a_n c^n$ is absolutely convergent; therefore, $\sum a_n x^n$ converges for x = c. So, $R \leq r$.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Special Property

Theorem: $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| x^n$ have equal radii of convergence.

Proof: Let *R* be the radius of convergence of $\sum |a_n|x^n$, and let *r* be the radius of convergence of $\sum a_n x^n$. Suppose $R \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\sum |a_n|x^n$ converges for x = c, then $\sum a_n c^n$ is absolutely convergent; therefore, $\sum a_n x^n$ converges for x = c. So, $R \leq r$.

Now, if R < r, then choose β such that $R < \beta < r$. Now, $\sum |a_n|\beta^n$ diverges. By the convergence theorem for power series, $\sum a_n\beta^n$ converges absolutely. This is a contradiction. Therefore, R = r. When $R = \infty$, then the power series $\sum a_nx^n$ is absolutely convergent for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, $\sum a_nx^n$ converges for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. That is, $r = \infty$.

For what values of *x*, do the following power series converge?

(a)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! x^n$$
 (b) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$

 $a_n = n!$. Thus $\lim \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right| = \lim \frac{1}{n+1} = 0.$ Hence R = 0.

That is, the series is only convergent for x = 0. (b) $a_n = 1/n!$. Thus $\lim \left|\frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}}\right| = \lim(n+1) = \infty$. Hence $R = \infty$. That is, the series is convergent for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{2n+1}$. Think of it as

$$x\left(1-\frac{x^2}{3}+\frac{x^4}{5}+\cdots\right) = x\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{t^n}{2n+1}$$
 for $t=x^2$

Then
$$a_n = (-1)^n / (2n+1)$$
. $\lim |a_n/a_{n+1}| = \lim \frac{2n+3}{2n+1} = 1$.

Hence R = 1. That is, for $|t| = x^2 < 1$, the series converges. For $|t| = x^2 > 1$, the series diverges.

Notice that $|t| = x^2 < 1$ means -1 < x < 1.

For x = -1, the (original) power series is an alternating series; it converges due to Leibniz. Similarly, for x = 1, the alternating series also converges.

Hence the interval of convergence for the original power series (in *x*) is [-1, 1].

Operations with power series

Theorem: Let the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x-a)^n$ have radius of convergence R > 0. Then the power series defines a function $f : (a - R, a + R) \to \mathbb{R}$. Further, f'(x) and $\int f(x) dx$ exist as functions from (a - R, a + R) to \mathbb{R} and these are given by

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x-a)^n, \quad f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n (x-a)^{n-1}, \quad \int f(x) dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{n+1} + C$$

where all the three power series converge for all $x \in (a - R, a + R)$.

Theorem: Let the power series $\sum a_n x^n$ and $\sum b_n x^n$ have the same radius of convergence R > 0. Then their multiplication has the same radius of convergence R. Moreover, the functions they define satisfy the following: If $f(x) = \sum a_n x^n$, $g(x) = \sum b_n x^n$, then $f(x)g(x) = \sum c_n x^n$ for a - R < x < a + R

where $c_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k} = a_0 b_n + a_1 b_{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} b_1 + a_n b_0.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

(a) Determine power series expansions of (a) $\frac{2}{(x-1)^3}$ (b) $\tan^{-1} x$.

(a) For
$$-1 < x < 1$$
, $\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \cdots$.

Differentiating term by term, we have

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^2} = 1 + 2x + 3x^2 + 4x^3 + \cdots$$

Differentiating once more, we get

1

$$\frac{2}{(1-x)^3} = 2 + 6x + 12x^2 + \dots = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)x^{n-2} \quad \text{for } -1 < x < 1.$$

(b)
$$\frac{1}{1+x^2} = 1 - x^2 + x^4 - x^6 + x^8 - \cdots$$
 for $|x^2| < 1$.

Integrating term by term and evaluating at x = 0, we have

$$\tan^{-1} x = x - \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{5} - \frac{x^7}{7} + \cdots$$
 for $-1 < x < 1$.

Taylor's Formula

For a function f(x), the **Taylor's polynomial** is

$$p(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x-a) + \frac{f''(a)}{2!}(x-a)^2 + \dots + \frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{n!}(x-a)^n.$$

Theorem: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $f^{(n)}(x)$ is continuous on [a, b] and differentiable on (a, b). Then there exists $c \in (a, b)$ such that

$$f(x) = p(x) + \frac{f^{(n+1)}(c)}{(n+1)!}(x-a)^{n+1}$$

Integral Form: Let f(x) be an (n + 1)-times continuously differentiable function on an open interval *I* containing *a*. Let $x \in I$. Then

$$f(x) = p(x) + \int_{a}^{x} \frac{(x-t)^{n}}{n!} f^{(n+1)}(t) dt.$$

An estimate for the error $R_n(x) = f(x) - p(x)$ is given by

$$\frac{m x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \le R_n(x) \le \frac{M x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!},$$

うっつ 川 (山)(山)(山)(山)(山)

with $m \leq f^{n+1}(x) \leq M$ for $x \in I$.

Taylor Series

You have seen the proof of the differential form in MA1010. The integral form is proved by using induction on n. The basis case is fundamental theorem of calculus. The induction step is proved using integration by parts.

When f(x) is infinitely differentiable and the error $R_n(x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, the function f(x) is represented by a power series, called **Taylor series** at x = a:

$$f(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x-a) + \frac{f''(a)}{2!}(x-a)^2 + \dots + \frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{n!}(x-a)^n + \dots$$

Conversely, if a function f(x) has a power series expansion about x = a, then the power series is same as the Taylor series. Reason?

Take the power series. Differentiate repeatedly and evaluate at x = a. You get the co-efficients of the power series as $\frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{n!}$. When x = 0, we get the **Maclaurin Series**:

$$f(x) = f(0) + f'(0)x + \frac{f''(0)}{2!}x^2 + \dots + \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!}x^n + \dots$$

Find the Taylor series expansion of the function f(x) = 1/x at x = 2. In which interval around x = 2, the series converges?

$$f(x) = x^{-1}, f(2) = \frac{1}{2}; \cdots; f^{(n)}(x) = (-1)^n n! x^{-(n+1)}, f^{(n)}(2) = (-1)^n n! 2^{-(n+1)}, f^{(n)}(2) = (-$$

Hence the Taylor series for f(x) = 1/x is

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x-2}{2^2} + \frac{(x-2)^2}{2^3} - \dots + (-1)^n \frac{(x-2)^n}{2^{n+1}} + \dotsb$$

It is a geometric series with ratio r = -(x - 2)/2. It converges absolutely for

$$|r| < 1$$
, i.e., $|x - 2| < 2$ i.e., $0 < x < 4$.

Does this convergent series converge to the given function?

For any c, x in an interval around x = 2,

$$|R_n| = \left|\frac{f^{(n+1)}(c)}{(n+1)!}(x-2)^{n+1}\right| = \left|\frac{(x-2)^{n+1}}{c^{n+2}}\right|$$

Here, *c* lies between *x* and 2. If *x* is near 2, then $|R_n| \rightarrow 0$. Hence the Taylor series represents the function near x = 2.

Consider the function $f(x) = e^x$. We find that

$$f(0) = 1, f'(0) = 1, \cdots, f^{(n)}(0) = 1, \cdots$$

Hence its Taylor series is

$$1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{x^n}{n!} + \dots$$

By the ratio test, this power series has the radius of convergence

$$R = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(n+1)!}{n!} = \infty.$$

Therefore, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the above series converges. Using the integral form of the remainder,

$$|R_n(x)| = \left| \int_a^x \frac{(x-t)^n}{n!} f^{(n+1)}(t) \, dt \right| = \left| \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^n}{n!} e^t \, dt \right| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Hence, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$e^{x} = 1 + x + \frac{x^{2}}{2!} + \dots + \frac{x^{n}}{n!} + \dots$$

Similarly, Taylor series for
$$\cos x$$
 is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{(2n)!}$.

The absolute value of the remainder in the differential form is

$$|R_{2n}(x)| = \frac{|x|^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence the series represents $\cos x$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\cos x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n}}{(2n)!} \text{ for each } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Taylor polynomials approximating $\cos x$ for $0 \le n \le 9$ are



Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the function $f(x) = (1 + x)^m$. The derivatives are

$$f(x) = (1+x)^m$$
, $f^{(n)}(x) = m(m-1)\cdots(m-n+1)x^{m-n}$.

Show that the series converges for -1 < x < 1. Also, the remainder term in the Maclaurin series expansion goes to 0 as $n \to \infty$. So, for -1 < x < 1,

$$(1+x)^m = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} {m \choose n} x^n$$
, where ${m \choose n} = \frac{m(m-1)\cdots(m-n+1)}{n!}$.

The series so obtained is called a **binomial series** expansion of $(1 + x)^m$.

For example, with m = 1/2, we have

$$(1+x)^{1/2} = 1 + \frac{x}{2} - \frac{x^2}{8} + \frac{x^3}{16} - \dots$$
 for $-1 < x < 1$.

When $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the binomial series terminates to give a polynomial and it represents $(1 + x)^m$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Trigonometric Series

In the power series $\sin x = x - x^3/3! + \cdots$, the periodicity of $\sin x$ is not obvious. Recall: A function f(x) is 2ℓ -periodic for $\ell > 0$ iff $f(x + 2\ell) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

For the time being, we consider 2π -periodic functions.

A trigonometric series is of the form $\frac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx).$

If it converges to f(x), then f(x) is also 2π -periodic.

How to get the coefficients a_n and b_n ? multiply f(t) by $\cos mt$ and integrate to obtain:

 $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \cos mt \, dt = \frac{1}{2} a_0 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos mt \, dt + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos nt \cos mt \, dt + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin nt \cos mt \, dt.$

Coefficients in a Trigonometric series For m, n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos nt \cos mt \, dt = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \neq m \\ \pi & \text{if } n = m > 0 \\ 2\pi & \text{if } n = m = 0 \end{cases} \text{ and } \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin nt \cos mt \, dt = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \cos mt \, dt = \pi a_m, \quad \text{for all } m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots$$

Similarly, by multiplying f(t) by $\sin mt$ and integrating, and using the fact that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin nt \sin mt \, dt = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \neq m \\ \pi & \text{if } n = m > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = m = 0 \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \sin mt \, dt = \pi b_m, \quad \text{for all } m = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

Fourier Series

Let
$$f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$
 be a 2π -periodic function integrable on $[-\pi, \pi]$.
Let $a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \cos nt \, dt$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$,
and $b_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \sin nt \, dt$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Then the trigonometric series

$$\frac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへぐ

is called the **Fourier series** of f(x).

Notation and Terminology

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function.

At any point
$$c \in \mathbb{R}$$
,
 $f(c+) = \lim_{h \to 0+} f(c+h), \quad f(c-) = \lim_{h \to 0+} f(c-h).$

- *f*(*x*) has a **finite jump** at *x* = *c* iff
 f(*c*+) exists, *f*(*c*−) exists, *f*(*c*+) ≠ *f*(*c*−).
- f(x) is piecewise continuous iff on any finite interval f(x) is continuous except for at most a finite number of finite jumps.
- At any point $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the **right hand slope** of f(x) is equal to

$$\lim_{h \to 0+} \frac{f(c+h) - f(c+)}{h}$$
Convergence of Fourier series

At any point $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the **left hand slope** of f(x) is equal to

$$\lim_{h \to 0+} \frac{f(c-h) - f(c-)}{h}$$

f(x) is piecewise smooth iff f(x) is piecewise continuous and f(x) has both left hand slope and right hand slope at every point.

Theorem Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a 2π -periodic piecewise smooth function. Then the Fourier series of f(x) converges at each $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Further, the sum of the Fourier series s(c) at any point $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is as follows:

1. if f(x) is continuous at c, then s(c) = f(c); and

2. if f(x) is not continuous at c, then $s(c) = \frac{1}{2}[f(c+) + f(c-)]$. Fourier series can represent functions which cannot be represented by a Taylor series, or a conventional power series; for example, a step function.

Consider the function f(x) given by the following which is extended to \mathbb{R} with the periodicity 2π :

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le x < \pi \\ 2 & \text{if } \pi \le x < 2\pi \end{cases}$$

Due to periodic extension, rewrite the function f(x) on $[-\pi, \pi)$ as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } -\pi \le x < 0\\ 1 & \text{if } 0 \le x < \pi. \end{cases}$$

The coefficients of the Fourier series are

$$a_{0} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{0} f(t) dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(t) dt = 3.$$

$$a_{n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{0} 2\cos nt \, dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos nt \, dt = 0.$$

$$b_{n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{0} 2\sin nt \, dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin nt \, dt = \frac{(-1)^{n} - 1}{4\pi + 1}.$$

Example 9 Cntd.

Here, $b_1 = -\frac{2}{\pi}$, $b_2 = 0$, $b_3 = -\frac{2}{3\pi}$, $b_4 = 0$,.... Therefore, for all $x \in [-\pi, \pi)$ except at x = 0,

$$f(x) = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\sin x + \frac{\sin 3x}{3} + \frac{\sin 5x}{5} + \cdots \right).$$

And the Fourier series sums to 3/2 at x = 0. Write the *m*th partial sum of the series as

$$f_m(x) = \frac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^m (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx).$$

The approximations $f_1(x)$, $f_3(x)$, $f_5(x)$, $f_9(x)$ and $f_{15}(x)$ to f(x) are



Consider the function $f(x) = x^2$ defined on $[0, 2\pi)$ and extended to \mathbb{R} with periodicity 2π . Here, we take $f(2\pi) = f(0) = 0$. Then

$$f(-\pi) = f(-\pi + 2\pi) = f(\pi) = \pi^2,$$

 $f(-\pi/2) = f(-\pi/2 + 2\pi) = f(3\pi/2) = (3\pi/2)^2.$

Thus the function f(x) on $[-\pi, \pi)$ is defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} (x+2\pi)^2 & \text{if } -\pi \le x < 0\\ x^2 & \text{if } 0 \le x < \pi. \end{cases}$$

Notice that f(x) is neither odd nor even. The coefficients of the Fourier series for f(x) are

$$a_{0} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} t^{2} dt = \frac{8\pi^{2}}{3}.$$

$$a_{n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} t^{2} \cos nt \, dt = \frac{4}{n^{2}}.$$

$$b_{n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} t^{2} \sin nt \, dt = -\frac{4\pi}{n}.$$

Example 10 Cntd.

Hence

$$f(x) = \frac{4\pi^2}{6} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{4}{\pi^2} \cos nx - \frac{4\pi}{n} \sin nx\right).$$

Due to the periodic extension, in the interval $(2k\pi, 2(k+1)\pi)$, $f(x) = (x - 2k\pi)^2$.

It has discontinuities at the points $x = 0, \pm 2\pi, \pm 4\pi, \ldots$

At such a point $x = 2k\pi$, the series converges to the average value of the left and right side limits, i.e., the series when evaluated at $2k\pi$ yields the value

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\lim_{x \to 2k\pi -} f(x) + \lim_{x \to 2k\pi +} f(x) \right]$$

= $\frac{1}{2} \left[\lim_{x \to 2k\pi -} (x - 2k\pi)^2 + \lim_{x \to 2k\pi +} (x - 2(k+1)\pi)^2 \right] = 2\pi^2.$

Notice that since f(x) is extended by periodicity, whether we take the basic interval as $[-\pi, \pi]$ or as $[0, 2\pi]$ does not matter in the calculation of coefficients.

Odd and even functions

If f(x) and f'(x) are continuous on $[-\pi, \pi)$ with period 2π and if f(x) is an odd function on $(-\pi, \pi)$, i.e., f(-x) = -f(x), then for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$,

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \cos nt \, dt = 0$$

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin nx \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

with $b_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \sin nt \, dt = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(t) \sin nt \, dt.$

If f(x) and f'(x) are continuous on $[-\pi, \pi)$ with period 2π and if f(x) is an even function, i.e., f(-x) = f(x), then

$$f(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}$$

with $a_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(t) \cos nt \, dt$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Consider $f(x) = x^2$ for $-\pi \le x \le \pi$. Its periodic extension to \mathbb{R} is not the function $f(x) = x^2$. For example, in the interval $[\pi, 3\pi]$, its extension looks like $f(x) = (x - 2\pi)^2$. Notice that f(x) is an even function. The coefficients of the cosine series are as follows:

$$a_0 = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} t^2 dt = \frac{2}{3}\pi^2.$$
$$a_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} t^2 \cos nt \, dt = \frac{4}{n^2} (-1)^n$$

Therefore,

$$f(x) = x^{2} = \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} + 4\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \frac{\cos nx}{n^{2}} \text{ for all } x \in [-\pi, \pi].$$

In particular, by taking x = 0 and $x = \pi$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{12}, \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

Show that for
$$0 < x < 2\pi$$
, $\frac{1}{2}(\pi - x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin nx}{n}$.

Let f(x) = x for $0 \le x < 2\pi$. Extend f(x) to \mathbb{R} by taking the periodicity as 2π and with the condition that $f(2\pi) = f(0)$.

Here, f(x) is not an odd function; $f(-\pi/2) = f(3\pi/2) = 3\pi/2 \neq f(\pi/2) = \pi/2.$

The coefficients of the Fourier series for f(x) are as follows:

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} t \, dt = 2\pi, \quad a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} t \cos nt \, dt = 0.$$

$$b_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} t \sin nt \, dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\frac{-n \cos nt}{n} \right]_0^{2\pi} + \frac{1}{n\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos nt \, dt = -\frac{2}{n}$$

By the convergence theorem, $x = \pi - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin nx}{n}$ for $0 < x < 2\pi$, which yields the required result.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへぐ

Functions on $[0, \pi]$

Suppose a function $f : [0, \pi] \to \mathbb{R}$ is given. To find its Fourier series, we need to extend it to \mathbb{R} so that the extended function is 2π -periodic Such an extension can be done in many ways.

1. Odd Extension:

First, extend f(x) from $[0, \pi)$ to $[-\pi, \pi]$ by requiring that f(x) is an odd function. This requirement forces f(-x) = -f(x) for each $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$. In particular, the extended function f(x) will satisfy $f(-\pi) = -f(\pi) = f(\pi)$ leading to $f(-\pi) = f(\pi) = 0$. Next, we extend this f(x) which has now been defined on $[-\pi, \pi]$ to \mathbb{R} with periodicity 2π . Then the Fourier series will represent the function on $[0, \pi)$. Notice that if $f(\pi)$ is already 0, then the Fourier series will represent f(x) on $[0, \pi]$.

The Fourier series expansion of this extended f(x) is a sine series:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin nx, \quad b_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(t) \sin nt \, dt, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We say that this Fourier series is a sine series expansion of f(x).

Functions on $[0, \pi]$ Cont.

2. Even Extension:

First, extend f(x) to $[-\pi, \pi]$ by requiring that f(x) is an even function. This requirement forces f(-x) = f(x) for each $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

Next, we extend this f(x) which has now been defined on $[-\pi, \pi]$ to \mathbb{R} with periodicity 2π .

The Fourier series expansion of this extended f(x) is a cosine series:

$$f(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx, \quad a_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(t) \cos nt \, dt, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

In this case, we say that the Fourier series is a **cosine series** expansion of f(x).

Find the Fourier series for
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } 0 \le x \le \pi/2 \\ \pi - x & \text{if } \pi/2 \le x \le \pi. \end{cases}$$

1. With an odd extension, the Fourier coefficients are given by

$$b_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(t) \sin nt \, dt = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} t \sin nt \, dt + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} (\pi - t) \sin nt \, dt$$
$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \Big[-\frac{t}{n} \cos nt + \frac{1}{n^2} \sin nt \Big]_0^{\pi/2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \Big[\frac{t - \pi}{n} \cos nt - \frac{1}{n^2} \sin nt \Big]_{\pi/2}^{\pi}$$
$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \Big(-\frac{\pi}{2n} \cos \frac{n\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{n^2} \sin \frac{n\pi}{2} \Big) + \frac{2}{\pi} \Big(\frac{\pi}{2n} \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \sin \frac{n\pi}{2} \Big)$$
$$= \frac{4}{\pi n^2} \sin \frac{n\pi}{2} = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{\pi n^2} (-1)^{(n-1)/2} & n \text{ odd} \\ 0 & n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Thus
$$f(x) = \frac{4}{\pi} \left(\frac{\sin x}{1^2} - \frac{\sin 3x}{3^2} + \frac{\sin 5x}{5^2} - \cdots \right), \text{ for } x \in [0, \pi].$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Example 13 Cntd.

2. With an even extension, the Fourier coefficients are given by

$$a_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(t) \cos nt \, dt$$

= $\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} t \cos nt \, dt + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} (\pi - t) \cos nt \, dt$
= $\begin{cases} \pi/4 & n = 0 \\ 0 & n = 4k, \ k \ge 1 \\ -\frac{2}{n^2 \pi} & n \ne 4k, \ k \ge 1. \end{cases}$

Thus

$$f(x) = \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{\cos 2x}{1^2} + \frac{\cos 6x}{3^2} + \frac{\cos 10x}{5^2} + \cdots \right), \quad \text{for } x \in [0, \pi].$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

Sine expansion of cosine in $[0, \pi]$

Find the Fourier sine expansion of $\cos x$ in $[0, \pi]$.

We work with the odd extension of $\cos x$ with period 2π to \mathbb{R} .

The Fourier coefficients a_n are 0, and b_n are given by

$$b_1 = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \cos t \sin t \, dt = 0.$$

$$b_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \cos t \sin nt \, dt = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \\ \frac{4n}{\pi(n^2 - 1)} & \text{for } n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,
$$\cos x = \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n \sin(2nx)}{4n^2 - 1}$$
 for $x \in [0, \pi]$.

Similarly, you can find out that $\sin x = \frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2nx)}{4n^2 - 1}$ for $x \in [0, \pi]$. This is cosine expansion of $\sin x$ in $[0, \pi]$.

Scaling Approach

There is a third approach in extending the function from $[0, \pi]$ to \mathbb{R} keeping periodicity as 2π .

3. Scaling to length 2π :

We define a bijection $g : [-\pi, \pi] \to [0, \pi]$. Then consider the composition $h = (f \circ g) : [-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{R}$. We find the Fourier series for h(y) and substitute $y = g^{-1}(x)$ for obtaining Fourier series for f(x). Notice that in computing the Fourier series for h(y), we must extend h(y) to \mathbb{R} using 2π -periodicity.

We consider Example 13 once again to illustrate this method of scaling. There, we had

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } 0 \le x \le \pi/2 \\ \pi - x & \text{if } \pi/2 \le x \le \pi. \end{cases}$$

To scale the interval $[0, \pi]$ to $[-\pi, \pi]$, we define a bijection $g: [-\pi, \pi] \to [0, \pi]$ given by $g(y) = \frac{1}{2}(y + \pi), \quad g^{-1}(x) = 2x - \pi.$ Example 13 with scaling

$$x = g(y) = \frac{1}{2}(y+\pi), \quad h(y) = f\left(\frac{y+\pi}{2}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(y+\pi) & \text{if } -\pi \le y \le 0\\ \frac{1}{2}(\pi-y) & \text{if } 0 \le y \le \pi. \end{cases}$$

1

Notice that the function h(y) happens to be an even function here. Thus $b_n = 0$ and other Fourier coefficients are as follows:

$$a_{0} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{0} \frac{t+\pi}{2} dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\pi-t}{2} dt = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

$$a_{n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{0} \frac{t+\pi}{2} \cos nt \, dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\pi-t}{2} \cos nt \, dt = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\pi n^{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$
Then the Fourier series for $h(y)$ is given by $\frac{\pi}{4} + \sum_{n \text{ odd}} \frac{2}{\pi n^{2}} \cos ny.$
Using $y = g^{-1}(x) = 2x - \pi$, we have
$$f(x) = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^{2}} \cos \left((2n+1)(2x-\pi)\right) \quad \text{for } x \in [-\pi,\pi].$$

In general, such a series obtained by scaling need neither be a sine series nor a cosine series.

Half-Range expansion

Suppose a real valued function f(x) is only defined on an interval $(0, \ell)$. Then all the three approaches are applicable.

- We take an odd extension of f(x), with the domain as $(-\ell, \ell)$. Then we scale $(-\ell, \ell)$ to $(-\pi, \pi)$; extend it to \mathbb{R} using 2π -periodicity. Finally construct the Fourier series of this extended function. This is called the *half range sine expansion*.
- We take an even extension of f(x), with the domain as (-ℓ, ℓ). Then we scale (-ℓ, ℓ) to (-π, π); extend it to ℝ using 2π-periodicity. Finally construct the Fourier series of this extended function. This is called the *half range cosine expansion*.
- We scale (0, ℓ) to (−π, π); extend it to ℝ using 2π-periodicity. Finally construct the Fourier series of this extended function. This is the *scaling approach*.

We may also use the interval $[-\ell, \ell]$ directly in the integrals while evaluating the Fourier coefficients instead of first scaling to $[-\pi, \pi]$ and then constructing the Fourier series. In $[-\ell, \ell]$

In case, our function is defined on $(-\ell, \ell)$, having period as 2ℓ , we consider $g(x) = f(\ell x/\pi)$. Now, $g: [-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{R}$ is 2π -periodic. It has the Fourier series

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx \right),$$

where the Fourier coefficients are given by

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f\left(\frac{\ell}{\pi}s\right) \cos ns \, ds, \quad b_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f\left(\frac{\ell}{\pi}s\right) \cos ns \, ds.$$

Substituting $t = \frac{\ell}{\pi}s$, $ds = \frac{\pi}{\ell}dt$, we have

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\ell} \int_{-\ell}^{\ell} f(t) \cos\left(\frac{n\pi t}{\ell}\right) dt, \quad b_n = \frac{1}{\ell} \int_{-\ell}^{\ell} f(t) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi t}{\ell}\right) dt.$$

And the Fourier series for f(x) is then obtained by substituting x with $\pi x/\ell$ in the above Fourier series. It is,

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(a_n \cos \frac{n\pi}{\ell} x + b_n \sin \frac{n\pi}{\ell} x \right).$$

Construct the Fourier series for f(x) = |x| for $x \in [-\ell, \ell]$ for some $\ell > 0$.

We extend the given function to $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with period 2ℓ . Here, f(x) is not |x| on \mathbb{R} ; it is |x| on $[-\ell, \ell]$. Due to its period as 2ℓ , it is $|x - 2\ell|$ on $[\ell, 3\ell]$ etc.

It is an even function. Thus all b_n are 0. The Fourier coefficients a_n are

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{\ell} \int_{-\ell}^{\ell} |s| \, ds = \frac{2}{\ell} \int_0^{\ell} s \, ds = \ell,$$

$$a_n = \frac{2}{\ell} \int_0^\ell s \cos\left(\frac{n\pi s}{\ell}\right) ds = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ even} \\ -\frac{4\ell}{n^2 \pi^2} & \text{if } n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Fourier series for f(x) in $[-\ell, \ell]$ is

$$|x| = \frac{\ell}{2} - \frac{4\ell}{\pi^2} \left[\frac{\cos(\pi/\ell)x}{1} + \frac{\cos(3\pi/\ell)x}{3^2} + \dots + \frac{\cos((2n+1)\pi/\ell)x}{(2n+1)^2} + \dots \right]$$